

## XI.2 $bw^*$ -topology and Krein-Šmulyan theorem

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be normed linear space. We say that a set  $A \subset X^*$  is  $bw^*$ -**open** if for each  $r > 0$  the set  $A \cap rB_{X^*}$  is (relatively)  $w^*$ -open in  $rB_{X^*}$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space. Then the family of all the  $bw^*$ -open subsets  $X^*$  is a topology, which is finer than the  $w^*$ -topology.*

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a normed linear space. Then the family of all the  $bw^*$ -open subsets  $X^*$  is called the  $bw^*$ -**topology**.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space. The  $bw^*$ -topology on  $X^*$  coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on sequences in  $X$ , which are norm-convergent to zero.*

**Theorem 12** (Banach-Dieudonné). *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and let  $\varkappa : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  denote the canonical embedding. Then*

$$(X^*, bw^*)^* = \overline{\varkappa(X)}.$$

*In other words, the dual to  $(X^*, bw^*)$  can be identified with the completion of  $X$ . In particular,*

$$(X^*, bw^*)^* = \varkappa(X) \iff X \text{ is complete.}$$

**Corollary 13** (Krein-Šmulyan). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $A \subset X^*$  be a convex set. Then*

$$A \text{ is } w^*\text{-closed} \iff \forall r > 0 : A \cap rB_{X^*} \text{ is } w^*\text{-closed.}$$

**Corollary 14** (Banach-Dieudonné). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $f$  be a linear functional on  $X^*$  (i.e.,  $f \in (X^*)^\#$ ). Then*

$$f \in \varkappa(X) \iff f|_{B_{X^*}} \text{ is } w^*\text{-continuous.}$$

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Denote  $K = (B_{X^*}, w^*)$ . Then  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Define the mapping  $J : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$  by  $J(x) = \varkappa(x)|_K$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then  $J$  is a linear isometry of  $X$  into  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ , a homeomorphism of  $(X, w)$  into  $(\mathcal{C}(K), \tau_p)$  and, moreover,  $J(X)$  is  $\tau_p$ -closed in  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .*

**Remarks.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \subset X^*$  a convex set. Then  $\overline{A}^{w^*} = \overline{A}^{bw^*}$ . However, it may happen that

$$\bigcup_{r>0} \overline{A \cap rB_{X^*}}^{w^*} \subsetneq \overline{A}^{w^*},$$

even if  $A$  is a subspace. This is illustrated by distinguishing the following cases:

Let  $Y \subset\subset X^*$ . Define the seminorm  $\tilde{q}_Y$  on  $X$  by

$$\tilde{q}_Y(x) = \sup\{|f(x)|; f \in Y \ \& \ \|f\| \leq 1\},$$

i.e.,  $\tilde{q}_Y = q_{B_{X^*} \cap Y}$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\tilde{q}_Y$  is a norm on  $X \iff \overline{Y}^{w^*} = X^*$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{q}_Y = \|\cdot\| \iff \overline{Y \cap B_{X^*}}^{w^*} = B_{X^*}$ . In this  $Y$  is said to be a **1-norming** subspace  $X^*$ .
- (3)  $\tilde{q}_Y$  is an equivalent norm on  $X \iff \exists r > 0 : \overline{Y \cap B_{X^*}}^{w^*} \supset \frac{1}{r}B_{X^*}$   
 $\iff \bigcup_{r>0} \overline{Y \cap rB_{X^*}}^{w^*} = X^*$ . In this  $Y$  is said to be a **norming** (or, more precisely,  **$r$ -norming**, where  $r$  is the number from the second condition) subspace of  $X^*$ .