

(a) Let A be a Banach algebra with involution, Then A^+ is again a B -algebra with involution if we set

$$(a, \lambda)^* = (a^*, \bar{\lambda}) , \quad (a, \lambda) \in A^+$$

The only nontrivial axiom is $((a, \lambda)(b, \mu))^* = (b, \mu)^*(a, \lambda)^*$

And this holds:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, \lambda)(b, \mu))^* &= ((ab + \lambda b + \mu a, \lambda\mu)^* = ((b^*a^* + \bar{\lambda}b^* + \bar{\mu}a^*, \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}))^* = \\ &= (b^{**}a^* + \bar{\lambda}b^* + \bar{\mu}a^*, \bar{\lambda}\cdot\bar{\mu}) = (b^*, \bar{\lambda})(a^*, \bar{\mu}) = \\ &= (b, \mu)^*(a, \lambda)^*. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If A is a C^* -algebra and we define

$$\|(a, \lambda)\| = \max \{ |\lambda| ; \sup_{\substack{b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|ab + \lambda b\| \} , \quad (a, \lambda) \in A^+$$

then A^+ is a C^* -algebra

① Set $p_1(a, \lambda) := |\lambda| , \quad (a, \lambda) \in A^+$

The p_1 is a seminorm

$$p_1((a, \lambda)(b, \mu)) \leq p_1(a, \lambda) p_1(b, \mu) \quad [\text{In fact, } \|\cdot\|]$$

$$p_1((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = p_1(a, \lambda)^2$$

... this is obvious from definitions

② Set $p_2(a, \lambda) = \sup_{\substack{b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|ab + \lambda b\| , \quad (a, \lambda) \in A^+$

Interpretation: define $L(a, \lambda)(s) = as + \lambda s , \quad s \in A$

The $L(a, \lambda) : A \rightarrow A$ linear, $\|L(a, \lambda)\| \leq \|a\| + |\lambda|$
 So, $L(a, \lambda) \in L(A)$.

Moreover, $p_2(a, \lambda) = \|L(a, \lambda)\| \quad \text{by defn. } L_{4.5}$

③ $(a, \lambda) \mapsto L(a, \lambda)$ is linear (clear)

$$L((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2)) = L(a_1, \lambda_1)L(a_2, \lambda_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{If } L(a_1, \lambda_1)L(a_2, \lambda_2)(b) = L_{(a_1, \lambda_1)}(a_2 b + \lambda_2 b) = \\ & = a_1 a_2 b + \lambda_2 a_1 b + \lambda_1 a_2 b + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 b = \end{aligned}$$

$$= L(a_1 a_2 + \lambda_2 a_1 + \lambda_1 a_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2)(b) = L((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2))(b). \quad \square$$

④ Thus P_2 is a seminorm and $P_2((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2)) \leq P_2(a_1, \lambda_1)P_2(a_2, \lambda_2)$

This follows from ② and ③ \square

$$⑤ P_2((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = P_2(a, \lambda))^2$$

It is enough to prove $\| \cdot \| \geq 1$

$$P_2((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = P_2((a^* a + \bar{\lambda} a + \lambda a^*, \bar{\lambda} \cdot \lambda)) =$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{b \in B \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|a^* a b + \bar{\lambda} a b + \lambda a^* b + \bar{\lambda} \lambda b\| \geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|b^* a^* a b + \bar{\lambda} b^* a b + \lambda b^* a^* b + \bar{\lambda} \lambda b^* b\| \geq$$

$$= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|(b^* a^* + \bar{\lambda} b^*)(a b + \lambda b)\| = \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|(a b + \lambda b)^*(a b + \lambda b)\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|a b + \lambda b\|^2 = P_2(a, \lambda)^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$⑥ P_2(a, 0) = \|a\| \quad \text{for } a \in A$$

$$\boxed{P_2(a, 0) = \sup_{\substack{\text{ban} \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|ab\| \leq \sup_{\substack{\text{ban} \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|a\| \cdot \|b\| = \|a\|}$$

conversely : if $a = 0$, then $P_2(a, 0) = P_2(0, 0) = 0$
 if $a \neq 0$, then

$$P_2(a, 0) \geq \|a - \frac{a}{\|a\|}\| = \|a\|,$$

so the equality follows. \square

$$⑦ \|(\alpha, \lambda)\| = \max \{P_1(\alpha, \lambda), P_2(\alpha, \lambda)\}$$

$\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)(\alpha_2, \lambda_2)\| &\leq \|(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)\| \|(\alpha_2, \lambda_2)\| \\ \|(\alpha, \lambda)^*(\alpha, \lambda)\| &= \|(\alpha, \lambda)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{P_{B_\delta} \text{ ①, ④, ⑤}} \quad \square$$

⑧ $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm :

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\|(\alpha, \lambda)\|=0 \Rightarrow (\lambda)=P_1(\alpha, \lambda)=0, \text{ i.e. } \lambda=0} \\ P_2(\alpha, 0)=\|(\alpha, 0)\|=\|\alpha\| \quad (\text{from ⑥}) \\ \text{so } \alpha=0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

⑨ $(A^*, \|\cdot\|)$ is a C^* -algebra

$\boxed{\text{It's enough to show that } \|\cdot\| \text{ is complete}}$

This follows, for example, from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\| \text{ is equivalent to } \|\cdot\|_1 \text{ defined by } \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 &\leq \|\alpha_n\| + |\lambda_n| \\ \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 &\Leftrightarrow \|\alpha_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \|(\alpha_n, 0)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \in \|\alpha_n\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\| \rightarrow 0$$

Conversely: $\|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow P_1(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) = |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \|(\alpha_n, 0)\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\|(\alpha_n, 0)\|}{\|\alpha_n\|} = \frac{\|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) - (\alpha_n, 0)\|}{\|\alpha_n\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

(10) Suppose that A has no unit. Then p_2 is a norm.

$$F_{p_2}(a, \lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall b \in A \quad ab + \lambda b = 0$$

If $a = 0$, then necessarily $\lambda = 0$, so $(a, \lambda) = (0, 0)$

If $a \neq 0$, then $\forall b \in A: b = -\frac{a}{\lambda}b \Rightarrow$

$-\frac{a}{\lambda}$ is a left unit, hence A is unital. \square

(11) If A has no unit, then (A, p_2) is a $(^*)$ -algebra, hence $p_2 = \| \cdot \|_1$.

F The equality follows from Corollary 28. So, it is enough to show that p_2 is complete.

~~It's enough~~ Define $\Theta: A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \Theta(a, \lambda) = \lambda$.

The Θ is a linear functional.

$\ker \Theta = \{(a, 0), a \in A\} \quad$ -- it is closed as A is complete and p_2 is a norm.

Thus Θ is cts.

$$\text{So, } p_2(a_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_n \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\therefore p_2(0, \lambda_n) = |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{So, } \|a_n\| = p_2(a_n, 0) = p_2((a_n, \lambda_n) - (0, \lambda_n)) \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore p_2 is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|_1$, as in (9). \square

(12) If A has a unit e , then p_2 is not a norm, for example $p_2(-e, 1) = 0$.

$$\text{The } p_2(a, \lambda) = \|a + \lambda e\|, \text{ hence } \|p_2(a, \lambda)\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|a + \lambda e\|\}$$