

Categorically-algebraic topology

Sergejs Solovjovs^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Latvia
e-mail: sergejs.solovjovs@lu.lv

²Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Latvia
e-mail: sergejs.solovjovs@lumii.lv

International Conference on Algebras and Lattices

The House for Professed, Prague, Czech Republic
June 21 - 25, 2010

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Categorically-algebraic topology
- 3 Lattice-valued categorically-algebraic topology
- 4 Categorically-algebraic pointless topology
- 5 Categorically-algebraic soft topology
- 6 Conclusion

Categorical approach to topology

- There exists a convenient approach to topological spaces:

Step 1. The **backward powerset operator** $\mathbf{Set} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{CBAAlg}^{op}$ with \mathbf{Set} (resp. \mathbf{CBAAlg}) the category of sets (resp. complete Boolean algebras) and $(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^{\leftarrow} = \mathbf{2}^X \xrightarrow{(f^{\leftarrow})^{op}} \mathbf{2}^Y$, $f^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ f$.

Step 2. The **topological theory**, which is just the forgetful functor $\mathbf{CBAAlg} \xrightarrow{\|\!-\!\|} \mathbf{Frm}$ to the category \mathbf{Frm} of frames, describing the underlying algebraic structure of topological spaces.

Step 3. The category \mathbf{Top} of **topological spaces** and **continuous maps**, whose objects are pairs (X, τ) for τ (**topology**) a subframe of $\|\mathbf{2}^X\|$, and whose morphisms $(X, \tau) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \sigma)$ are maps $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ with $(\|f^{\leftarrow}\|)^{\rightarrow}(\sigma) \subseteq \tau$ (**continuity**).

Poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh

1983: S. E. Rodabaugh considers the backward powerset theory

$\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{CBAIg}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{CBAIg}^{op}$ defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} ((X, L) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (Y, M))^{\leftarrow} &= L^X \xrightarrow{((f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow})^{op}} M^Y, \\ (f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) &= \varphi^{op} \circ \alpha \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

and topological spaces (X, L, τ) with τ a subframe of $\|L^X\|$, resulting in **variable-basis lattice-valued topology**.

1991: S. E. Rodabaugh develops strict categorical foundations for his theory calling it **point-set lattice-theoretic (poslat) topology**.

1999: Poslat topology becomes a standard in the fuzzy community.

Poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh

1983: S. E. Rodabaugh considers the backward powerset theory

$\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{CBAlg}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{CBAlg}^{op}$ defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} ((X, L) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (Y, M))^{\leftarrow} &= L^X \xrightarrow{((f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow})^{op}} M^Y, \\ (f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) &= \varphi^{op} \circ \alpha \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

and topological spaces (X, L, τ) with τ a subframe of $\|L^X\|$, resulting in **variable-basis lattice-valued topology**.

1991: S. E. Rodabaugh develops strict categorical foundations for his theory calling it **point-set lattice-theoretic (poslat) topology**.

1999: Poslat topology becomes a standard in the fuzzy community.

Poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh

1983: S. E. Rodabaugh considers the backward powerset theory

$\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{CBAIg}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{CBAIg}^{op}$ defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} ((X, L) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (Y, M))^{\leftarrow} &= L^X \xrightarrow{((f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow})^{op}} M^Y, \\ (f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) &= \varphi^{op} \circ \alpha \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

and topological spaces (X, L, τ) with τ a subframe of $\|L^X\|$, resulting in **variable-basis lattice-valued topology**.

1991: S. E. Rodabaugh develops strict categorical foundations for his theory calling it **point-set lattice-theoretic (poslat) topology**.

1999: Poslat topology becomes a standard in the fuzzy community.

(L, M) -fuzzy topology of C. Guido, T. Kubiak, A. Šostak

1993: Motivated by a promising idea of U. Höhle, T. Kubiak and A. Šostak consider topological spaces as tuples (X, L, M, \mathcal{T}) with M a frame, and $L^X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$ a map fulfilling several requirements.

2003: C. Guido suggests considering extended topological spaces $(X, L, M, \alpha, \mathcal{T})$ with $\alpha \in L^X$ and $\{\beta \in L^X \mid \beta \leq \alpha\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$.

2009: T. Kubiak and A. Šostak develop strict foundations for their theory calling it (L, M) -fuzzy topology. The theory becomes the second major approach to many-valued topological structures.

(L, M) -fuzzy topology of C. Guido, T. Kubiak, A. Šostak

1993: Motivated by a promising idea of U. Höhle, T. Kubiak and A. Šostak consider topological spaces as tuples (X, L, M, \mathcal{T}) with M a frame, and $L^X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$ a map fulfilling several requirements.

2003: C. Guido suggests considering extended topological spaces $(X, L, M, \alpha, \mathcal{T})$ with $\alpha \in L^X$ and $\{\beta \in L^X \mid \beta \leq \alpha\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$.

2009: T. Kubiak and A. Šostak develop strict foundations for their theory calling it (L, M) -fuzzy topology. The theory becomes the second major approach to many-valued topological structures.

(L, M) -fuzzy topology of C. Guido, T. Kubiak, A. Šostak

- 1993:** Motivated by a promising idea of U. Höhle, T. Kubiak and A. Šostak consider topological spaces as tuples (X, L, M, \mathcal{T}) with M a frame, and $L^X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$ a map fulfilling several requirements.
- 2003:** C. Guido suggests considering extended topological spaces $(X, L, M, \alpha, \mathcal{T})$ with $\alpha \in L^X$ and $\{\beta \in L^X \mid \beta \leq \alpha\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} M$.
- 2009:** T. Kubiak and A. Šostak develop strict foundations for their theory calling it **(L, M) -fuzzy topology**. The theory becomes the second major approach to many-valued topological structures.

Topological systems of S. Vickers

1989: S. Vickers proposes **topological systems** as a tool for doing **pointless topology** with. Their category has both the categories **Top** and **Frm**^{op} as full subcategories with “nice” properties.

Definition 1

A **topological system** is a triple (X, L, κ) with X a set, L a frame, and $L \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbf{2}^X$ a frame homomorphism.

2009: Researchers start development of the theory of **lattice-valued topological systems**, to approach **many-valued pointless topology**.

Topological systems of S. Vickers

1989: S. Vickers proposes **topological systems** as a tool for doing **pointless topology** with. Their category has both the categories **Top** and **Frm**^{op} as full subcategories with “nice” properties.

Definition 1

A **topological system** is a triple (X, L, κ) with X a set, L a frame, and $L \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbf{2}^X$ a frame homomorphism.

2009: Researchers start development of the theory of **lattice-valued topological systems**, to approach **many-valued pointless topology**.

Soft sets of D. Molodtsov

1999: D. Molodtsov introduces **soft sets** as a promising tool to deal with uncertainty, and shows that his concept includes the notion of fuzzy set.

Definition 2

Given a set X , a **soft set** over X is a pair (Y, \mathbb{I}^+) with Y a set and $Y \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}^+} \mathbf{2}^X$ a map.

2000: The process of “softening” of mathematics begins. Such notions as, e.g., **soft group**, **soft ring**, **soft semiring**, **soft BCK** (resp. **BCI**)-**algebra** appear. No link to soft topology available.

Soft sets of D. Molodtsov

1999: D. Molodtsov introduces **soft sets** as a promising tool to deal with uncertainty, and shows that his concept includes the notion of fuzzy set.

Definition 2

Given a set X , a **soft set** over X is a pair (Y, \mathbb{I}^+) with Y a set and $Y \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}^+} \mathbf{2}^X$ a map.

2000: The process of “softening” of mathematics begins. Such notions as, e.g., **soft group**, **soft ring**, **soft semiring**, **soft BCK** (resp. **BCI**)-**algebra** appear. No link to soft topology available.

Common framework for everything

Aim: This talk introduces a new way of approaching topological structures, which is induced by recent developments in lattice-valued topology, and is deemed to incorporate both crisp and many-valued settings.

Machinery: Based in category theory and universal algebra, the framework is called **categorically-algebraic (catalg) topology**, to underline its motivating theories, and to distinguish it from the poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh.

Advantage: The new setting includes all approaches to lattice-valued topology, as well as pointless topology of S. Vickers. It also starts a completely new area of study called **soft topology**, which is induced by the concept of soft set of D. Molodtsov.

Common framework for everything

Aim: This talk introduces a new way of approaching topological structures, which is induced by recent developments in lattice-valued topology, and is deemed to incorporate both crisp and many-valued settings.

Machinery: Based in category theory and universal algebra, the framework is called **categorically-algebraic (catalg) topology**, to underline its motivating theories, and to distinguish it from the poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh.

Advantage: The new setting includes all approaches to lattice-valued topology, as well as pointless topology of S. Vickers. It also starts a completely new area of study called **soft topology**, which is induced by the concept of soft set of D. Molodtsov.

Common framework for everything

Aim: This talk introduces a new way of approaching topological structures, which is induced by recent developments in lattice-valued topology, and is deemed to incorporate both crisp and many-valued settings.

Machinery: Based in category theory and universal algebra, the framework is called **categorically-algebraic (catalg) topology**, to underline its motivating theories, and to distinguish it from the poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh.

Advantage: The new setting includes all approaches to lattice-valued topology, as well as pointless topology of S. Vickers. It also starts a completely new area of study called **soft topology**, which is induced by the concept of soft set of D. Molodtsov.

Ω -algebras and Ω -homomorphisms

Definition 3

Let $\Omega = (n_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a (possibly proper) class of cardinal numbers.

- An **Ω -algebra** is a pair $(A, (\omega_\lambda^A)_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ comprising a set A and a family of maps $A^{n_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\omega_\lambda^A} A$ (**n_λ -ary primitive operations** on A).
- An **Ω -homomorphism** $(A, (\omega_\lambda^A)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (B, (\omega_\lambda^B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ is a map $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$ such that $f \circ \omega_\lambda^A = \omega_\lambda^B \circ f^{n_\lambda}$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- **$\mathbf{Alg}(\Omega)$** is the construct of Ω -algebras and Ω -homomorphisms, with the underlying functor denoted by $| - |$.

Varieties of algebras

Definition 4

Let \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{E}) be the class of Ω -homomorphisms with injective (resp. surjective) underlying maps.

- A **variety of Ω -algebras** is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Alg}(\Omega)$ closed under the formation of products, \mathcal{M} -subobjects (subalgebras) and \mathcal{E} -quotients (homomorphic images).
- The objects (resp. morphisms) of a variety are called **algebras** (resp. **homomorphisms**).
- The categorical dual of a given variety \mathbf{A} is denoted by \mathbf{LoA} , whose objects (resp. morphisms) are called **localic algebras** (resp. **homomorphisms**).
- Given a subclass $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$, an **Ω' -reduct** of \mathbf{A} is a pair $(\|-\|, \mathbf{B})$ with \mathbf{B} a variety of Ω' -algebras and $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\|-\|} \mathbf{B}$ a concrete functor.

Powerset theories

Definition 5

A **variety-based backward powerset theory (vbp-theory)** in a given category \mathbf{X} (**ground category** of the theory) is a functor $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{LoA}$.

Lemma 6

Given a variety \mathbf{A} , every subcategory \mathbf{C} of \mathbf{LoA} induces a functor $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S} = (-)^\leftarrow} \mathbf{LoA}$, $((X_1, A_1) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (X_2, A_2))^\leftarrow = A_1^{X_1} \xrightarrow{((f, \varphi)^\leftarrow)^{op}} A_2^{X_2}$ with $(f, \varphi)^\leftarrow(\alpha) = \varphi^{op} \circ \alpha \circ f$.

- ! \mathbf{S}_A is the subcategory of \mathbf{LoA} with the only morphism 1_A .
- ! $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{S}_A \xrightarrow{(-)^\leftarrow} \mathbf{LoA}$ (**fixed-basis approach**, with the full setting being **variable-basis approach**) is denoted by $(-)_A^\leftarrow$.

Powerset theories

Definition 5

A **variety-based backward powerset theory (vbp-theory)** in a given category \mathbf{X} (**ground category** of the theory) is a functor $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{LoA}$.

Lemma 6

Given a variety \mathbf{A} , every subcategory \mathbf{C} of \mathbf{LoA} induces a functor $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{S=(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{LoA}$, $((X_1, A_1) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (X_2, A_2))^{\leftarrow} = A_1^{X_1} \xrightarrow{((f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow})^{op}} A_2^{X_2}$ with $(f, \varphi)^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) = \varphi^{op} \circ \alpha \circ f$.

- ! \mathbf{S}_A is the subcategory of \mathbf{LoA} with the only morphism 1_A .
- ! $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{S}_A \xrightarrow{(-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{LoA}$ (**fixed-basis approach**, with the full setting being **variable-basis approach**) is denoted by $(-)^{\leftarrow}_A$.

Examples of powerset theories

Example 7

- 1 $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{S}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} = (-)_2^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{LoCBAAlg}$, where $\mathbf{2} = \{\perp, \top\}$, provides the above-mentioned backward powerset operator.
- 2 $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{I}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z} = (-)_{\mathbb{I}}^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{DmLoc}$ (**DeMorgan frames**), where $\mathbb{I} = [0,1]$ is the unit interval, provides the fixed-basis fuzzy approach of L. A. Zadeh.
- 3 $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{S}_L \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G} = (-)_L^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{LoUQuant}$ (**unital quantales**) provides the fixed-basis L -fuzzy approach of J. A. Goguen.
- 4 $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R} = (-)^{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{LoSQuant}$ (**semi-quantales**) provides the variable-basis poslat approach of S. E. Rodabaugh.

Topological theories

Definition 8

Let \mathbf{X} be a category and let $\mathcal{T}_I = ((P_i, (\| - \|_i, \mathbf{B}_i)))_{i \in I}$ be a set-indexed family with $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{P_i} \mathbf{LoA}_i$ a vbp-theory in \mathbf{X} and $(\| - \|_i, \mathbf{B}_i)$ a reduct of \mathbf{A}_i for $i \in I$. A **composite variety-based topological theory (cvt-theory) in \mathbf{X} induced by \mathcal{T}_I** is the functor $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{T_I} \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{LoB}_i$, defined by commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{P_j} & \mathbf{LoA}_j \\
 \mathcal{T}_I \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow \| - \|_j^{op} \\
 \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{LoB}_i & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_j} & \mathbf{LoB}_j
 \end{array}$$

for $j \in I$, where Γ_j is the respective projection functor.

! A cvt-theory induced by a singleton family is denoted by T .

Categorically-algebraic topological spaces

Definition 9

Let T_I be a cvt-theory in a category \mathbf{X} . $\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$ is the concrete category over \mathbf{X} , whose

objects (composite variety-based topological spaces or T_I -spaces) are pairs $(X, (\tau_i)_{i \in I})$ with X an \mathbf{X} -object and τ_i a subalgebra of $T_i(X)$ for $i \in I$ ($(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$ is called **composite variety-based topology** or **T_I -topology** on X), and whose

morphisms $(X, (\tau_i)_{i \in I}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, (\sigma_i)_{i \in I})$ are \mathbf{X} -morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, which satisfy $((T_i f)^{op})^{-1}(\sigma_i) \subseteq \tau_i$ for $i \in I$ (**composite variety-based continuity** or **T_I -continuity**).

! The category $\mathbf{CTop}(T)$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Top}(T)$.

Examples of categorically-algebraic topology

Example 10

- 1 **Top** $((\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{Frm}))$ is isomorphic to the classical category **Top** of topological spaces and continuous maps.
- 2 **Top** $((\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{CSL}))$ is isomorphic to the category **Cls** of closure spaces and continuous maps of D. Aerts.
- 3 **CTop** $((\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{Frm}))_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ is isomorphic to the category **BiTop** of bitopological spaces and bicontinuous maps of J. C. Kelly.
- 4 **Top** $((\mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{Frm}))$ is isomorphic to the category **I-Top** of fixed-basis fuzzy topological spaces of C. L. Chang.
- 5 **Top** $((\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{UQuant}))$ is isomorphic to the category **L-Top** of fixed-basis *L*-fuzzy topological spaces of J. A. Goguen.
- 6 **Top** $((\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{USQuant}))$ is isomorphic to the category **C-Top** for variable-basis poslat topology of S. E. Rodabaugh.

Lattice-valued algebras

Definition 11

Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{L} be varieties, let $\mathbf{CSLat}(\mathbb{V})$ (\mathbb{V} -semilattices) be a reduct of \mathbf{L} and let \mathbf{C} be a subcategory of \mathbf{L} .

- An **(A, C)-algebra** is a triple (A, μ, L) with A an \mathbf{A} -algebra, L a \mathbf{C} -algebra and $|A| \xrightarrow{\mu} |L|$ a map such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every $a_i \in A$ for $i \in n_\lambda$, $\bigwedge_{i \in n_\lambda} \mu(a_i) \leq \mu(\omega_\lambda^A(\langle a_i \rangle_{n_\lambda}))$.
- An **(A, C)-homomorphism** $(A_1, \mu_1, L_1) \xrightarrow{(\varphi, \psi)} (A_2, \mu_2, L_2)$ is an $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$ -morphism $(A_1, L_1) \xrightarrow{(\varphi, \psi)} (A_2, L_2)$ fulfilling the property $\psi \circ \mu_1(a) \leq \mu_2 \circ \varphi(a)$ for every $a \in A_1$.
- $\mathbf{C-A}$ is the category, concrete over $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$, comprising **(A, C)-algebras** and **(A, C)-homomorphisms**.

Lattice-valued topological theories

Definition 12

Let T_I be a cvt-theory in a category \mathbf{X} , let $(\mathbf{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of extensions of $\mathbf{CSLat}(\vee)$, and let \mathbf{C}_i be a subcategory of \mathbf{LoL}_i for $i \in I$. An \mathbb{L}_I -valued cvt-theory in \mathbf{X} induced by T_I and $(\mathbf{C}_i)_{i \in I}$ is the pair (T_I, \mathbb{L}_I) with \mathbb{L}_I the category $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{C}_i$.

! The category \mathbb{L}_I induced by a singleton family is denoted by \mathbb{L} .

Remark

The setting of Definition 12 allows not just different underlying lattices for fuzzification (variable-basis framework), but actually different varieties for these lattices to come from.

Lattice-valued topological theories

Definition 12

Let T_I be a cvt-theory in a category \mathbf{X} , let $(\mathbf{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of extensions of $\mathbf{CSLat}(\vee)$, and let \mathbf{C}_i be a subcategory of \mathbf{LoL}_i for $i \in I$. An \mathbb{L}_I -valued cvt-theory in \mathbf{X} induced by T_I and $(\mathbf{C}_i)_{i \in I}$ is the pair (T_I, \mathbb{L}_I) with \mathbb{L}_I the category $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{C}_i$.

! The category \mathbb{L}_I induced by a singleton family is denoted by \mathbb{L} .

Remark

The setting of Definition 12 allows not just different underlying lattices for fuzzification (variable-basis framework), but actually different varieties for these lattices to come from.

Lattice-valued catalog spaces

Definition 13

Let (T_I, \mathbb{L}_I) be an \mathbb{L}_I -valued cvt-theory in a category \mathbf{X} . $\mathbb{L}_I \mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$ is the concrete category over $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{L}_I$, whose

objects (\mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -spaces) are triples $(X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I})$ with X in \mathbf{X} , $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ in \mathbb{L}_I and $T_i(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_i} L_i$ a $(\mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{LoC}_i)$ -algebra for $i \in I$ ($(\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}$ is called \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -topology on X), and whose

morphisms $(X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}) \xrightarrow{(f, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})} (Y, (\mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}, (M_i)_{i \in I})$ are $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{L}_I$ -morphisms $(X, (L_i)_{i \in I}) \xrightarrow{(f, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})} (Y, (M_i)_{i \in I})$, for which $(T_i(X), \mathcal{T}_i, L_i) \xrightarrow{(T_i f, \psi_i)} (T_i(Y), \mathcal{S}_i, M_i)$ is a $\mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i - \mathbf{B}_i)$ -morphism for $i \in I$ (\mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -continuity).

The underlying functor to the ground category is denoted by $| - |$.

! The category $\mathbb{L} \mathbf{CTop}(T)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{L} \mathbf{Top}(T)$.

Examples of lattice-valued catalg topology

Example 14

- ① $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{Top}((\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{CLat}}^{\mathbf{S}_L}, \mathbf{Frm}, \mathbf{S}_M^{\mathbf{CDCLat}}))$, with \mathbf{CLat} being the variety of complete lattices and \mathbf{CDCLat} its subcategory of completely distributive lattices, is the theory of (L, M) -fuzzy topological spaces of T. Kubiak and A. Šostak.
- ② $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{Top}((\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{Frm}, \mathbf{S}_M^{\mathbf{DMLoc}}))$ provides the approach of U. Höhle.
- ③ $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}((T_I, \mathbb{L}_I))$ with $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathbf{S}_2^{\mathbf{CSLat}(V)}$ for $i \in I$, is isomorphic to the category $\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$.

Main result

Theorem 15

The concrete category $(\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I), | - |)$ is topological over its ground category $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{L}_I$.

- Meta-mathematically restated, one is doing topology when working in the category $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$.
- Given a topological structure, one can find the variety with the minimum requirements on its algebras, to preserve the “main” properties of the structure (**characterizing variety**). The corresponding category of lattice-valued catalg spaces (**characterizing category**) is then topological.

Lattice-valued catalg topological systems ...

Definition 16

Let (T_I, \mathbb{L}_I) be an \mathbb{L}_I -valued cvt-theory in \mathbf{X} . $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTopSys}(T_I)$ is the concrete category over $\mathbf{X} \times (\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\mathbf{-B}_i))$, whose

objects (\mathbb{L}_I -valued composite variety-based topological systems or \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -systems) are triples $(X, (\kappa_i)_{i \in I}, ((A_i, \mu_i, L_i))_{i \in I})$ with X in \mathbf{X} , $((A_i, \mu_i, L_i))_{i \in I}$ in $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\mathbf{-B}_i)$ and $T_i(X) \xrightarrow{\kappa_i} B_i$ a \mathbf{LoB}_i -morphism for $i \in I$ ($(\kappa_i)_{i \in I}$ is called \mathbb{L}_I -valued composite variety-based satisfaction relation or \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -satisfaction relation on $(X, ((A_i, \mu_i, L_i))_{i \in I})$), and whose

... and their morphisms

morphisms

$$(X, (\kappa_i)_{i \in I}, ((A_i, \mu_i, L_i))_{i \in I}) \xrightarrow{(f, ((\varphi_i, \psi_i))_{i \in I})} (Y, (\iota_i)_{i \in I}, ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I})$$

are $\mathbf{X} \times (\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\text{-}\mathbf{B}_i))$ -morphisms

$$(X, ((A_i, \mu_i, L_i))_{i \in I}) \xrightarrow{(f, ((\varphi_i, \psi_i))_{i \in I})} (Y, ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I}),$$

which make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_i(X) & \xrightarrow{T_i f} & T_i(Y) \\ \kappa_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_i \\ A_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & B_i \end{array}$$

commute for $i \in I$ (\mathbb{L}_I -valued composite variety-based continuity or \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -continuity).

Examples of lattice-valued catalg topological systems

! The category $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{CTopSys}(T)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{TopSys}(T)$.

Example 17

- ① $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{TopSys}((\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{Frm}, \mathbf{S}_2^{\mathbf{CSLat}(V)}))$ is isomorphic to the category \mathbf{TopSys} of classical topological systems of S. Vickers.
- ② $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{TopSys}((\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Frm}}^{\mathbf{Frm}}, \mathbf{Frm}, \mathbf{S}_2^{\mathbf{CSLat}(V)}))$ is isomorphic to the category $\mathbf{Loc-TopSys}$ of lattice-valued topological systems introduced by J. T. Denniston, A. Melton and S. E. Rodabaugh.
- ③ $\mathbb{L}\mathbf{TopSys}((\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Set}}^{\mathbf{S}_K}, \mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{S}_2^{\mathbf{CSLat}(V)}))$ is isomorphic to the category $\mathbf{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, K)$ of **Chu spaces** over a set K of V . Pratt.
- ④ $\mathbf{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, 2)$ is the category \mathbf{IntSys} of **interchange systems** of J. T. Denniston, A. Melton and S. E. Rodabaugh. Interchange systems are called **contexts** in Formal Concept Analysis.

Main result

Theorem 18

There exists a full embedding $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I) \xrightarrow{G_I} \mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTopSys}(T_I)$.
 If the underlying lattices of \mathbb{L}_I are completely distributive, then

- ① there exists a functor $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTopSys}(T_I) \xrightarrow{\text{Spat}_I} \mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$;
- ② Spat_I is a right-adjoint-left-inverse to G_I ;
- ③ $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$ is isomorphic to a full coreflective subcategory of $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTopSys}(T_I)$.

- Theorem 18 provides a lattice-valued catalg analogue for the **spatialization procedure** of S. Vickers, restoring a significant part of the classical framework.

Soft algebras

Definition 19

Let \mathbf{A} be a variety, let A be an \mathbf{A} -algebra and let X be a set. A **soft (\mathbf{A} -)algebra** over A is a pair $(\text{III}\dashv, X)$, where $X \xrightarrow{\text{III}\dashv} \mathbf{2}^A$ is a map such that $\text{III}\dashv(x)$ is a subalgebra of A for every $x \in X$.

Example 20

The varieties of groups, rings, semirings, as well as quasi-varieties (in the obvious sense) of BCK/BCI-algebras provide the respective soft notions from the literature.

Soft algebras

Definition 19

Let \mathbf{A} be a variety, let A be an \mathbf{A} -algebra and let X be a set. A **soft (\mathbf{A} -)algebra** over A is a pair $(\text{III}\vdash, X)$, where $X \xrightarrow{\text{III}\vdash} \mathbf{2}^A$ is a map such that $\text{III}\vdash(x)$ is a subalgebra of A for every $x \in X$.

Example 20

The varieties of groups, rings, semirings, as well as quasi-varieties (in the obvious sense) of BCK/BCI-algebras provide the respective soft notions from the literature.

Soft topology ...

- The next definition is induced by the concept of soft algebra.

Definition 21

Let (T_I, \mathbb{L}_I) be an \mathbb{L}_I -valued cvt-theory in \mathbf{X} . $\mathbb{L}_I \mathbf{CSoftTop}(T_I)$ is the concrete category over $\mathbb{L}_I \mathbf{CTop}(T_I) \times (\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\text{-}\mathbf{B}_i))$, whose objects (soft \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -spaces) are triples

$$((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((\kappa_i, \varphi_i))_{i \in I}, ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I})$$

such that

- $(X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I})$ is an \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -space;
- $((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I}$ is in $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\text{-}\mathbf{B}_i)$;
- $(T_i(X), \mathcal{T}_i, L_i) \xrightarrow{(\kappa_i, \varphi_i)} (B_i, \nu_i, M_i)$ is in $\mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\text{-}\mathbf{B}_i)$ for $i \in I$;

$((\kappa_i, \varphi_i))_{i \in I}$ is called soft \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -topology on $((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I})$, and whose

... and soft continuity

morphisms

$$\left((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((\kappa_i, \varphi_i))_{i \in I}, ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I} \right) \xrightarrow{((f, (\phi_i)_{i \in I}), ((\xi_i, \sigma_i))_{i \in I})} \left((Y, (\mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}, (N_i)_{i \in I}), ((\iota_i, \psi_i))_{i \in I}, ((C_i, \sigma_i, O_i))_{i \in I} \right)$$

are $\mathbb{L}_I \mathbf{CTop}(T_I) \times (\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{Lo}(\mathbf{LoC}_i\text{-}\mathbf{B}_i))$ -morphisms

$$\left((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((B_i, \nu_i, M_i))_{i \in I} \right) \xrightarrow{((f, (\phi_i)_{i \in I}), ((\xi_i, \sigma_i))_{i \in I})} \left((Y, (\mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}, (N_i)_{i \in I}), ((C_i, \sigma_i, O_i))_{i \in I} \right),$$

which make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_i(X) & \xrightarrow{T_i f} & T_i(Y) \\ \kappa_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_i \\ B_i & \xrightarrow{\xi_i} & C_i \end{array}$$

commute for $i \in I$ (**soft \mathbb{L}_I -valued T_I -continuity**).

Example of soft lattice-valued catalog topology

Example 22

The (non-full) subcategory **S** of the category $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CSoftTop}(T_I)$, which comprises all objects

$$((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((1_{T_i(X)}, 1_{L_i}))_{i \in I}, ((T_i(X), \mathcal{T}_i, L_i))_{i \in I}),$$

together with all morphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} ((X, (\mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}, (L_i)_{i \in I}), ((1_{T_i(X)}, 1_{L_i}))_{i \in I}, ((T_i(X), \mathcal{T}_i, L_i))_{i \in I}) \\ \downarrow \\ ((f, (\phi_i)_{i \in I}), ((T_i f, \phi_i))_{i \in I}) \\ \downarrow \\ ((Y, (\mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}, (M_i)_{i \in I}), ((1_{T_i(Y)}, 1_{M_i}))_{i \in I}, ((T_i(Y), \mathcal{S}_i, M_i))_{i \in I}), \end{array}$$

is isomorphic to the category $\mathbb{L}_I\mathbf{CTop}(T_I)$.

Contribution of the talk

- The talk introduced a new approach to topological structures called **(lattice-valued) categorically-algebraic topology**.
- The framework incorporates crisp and many-valued topology (erasing the border between them in some cases), pointless topology and soft topology.
- It appears that the currently dominating many-valued theory of S. E. Rodabaugh does not deviate significantly from the machinery of the crisp approach, whereas the framework of T. Kubaik and A. Šostak gives a truly lattice-valued setting.

Soft algebra homomorphisms

Definition 23

Let \mathbf{A} be a variety, let (X_1, \Vdash_1, A_1) , (X_2, \Vdash_2, A_2) be soft \mathbf{A} -algebras.

A **soft (\mathbf{A} -)algebra homomorphism** $(X_1, \Vdash_1, A_1) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (X_2, \Vdash_2, A_2)$






is a $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{A}$ -morphism $(X_1, A_1) \xrightarrow{(f, \varphi)} (X_2, A_2)$, which satisfies the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 \\
 \Vdash_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \Vdash_2 \\
 \mathbf{2}^{A_1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{2}^{A_2}
 \end{array}$$

The category **SoftA** comprises soft \mathbf{A} -algebras and soft \mathbf{A} -algebra homomorphisms, and is concrete over $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{A}$.

Problem: Develop the theory of soft algebras through investigation of the properties of the category **SoftA**.

References I

-  H. Aktaş and N. Çağman, *Soft sets and soft groups*, Inf. Sci. **177** (2007), no. 13, 2726–2735.
-  C. Guido, *Powerset Operators Based Approach to Fuzzy Topologies on Fuzzy Sets*, Topological and Algebraic Structures in Fuzzy Sets (S. E. Rodabaugh and E. P. Klement, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003, pp. 401–413.
-  U. Höhle, *Upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **78** (1980), 659–673.
-  T. Kubiak and A. Šostak, *Foundations of the theory of (L, M) -fuzzy topological spaces*, Abstracts of the 30th Linz Seminar on Fuzzy Set Theory, Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz, 2009, pp. 70–73.
-  D. Molodtsov, *Soft set theory – first results*, Comput. Math. Appl. **37** (1999), no. 4-5, 19–31.

References II



S. E. Rodabaugh, *Relationship of Algebraic Theories to Powerset Theories and Fuzzy Topological Theories for Lattice-Valued Mathematics*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2007** (2007), 1–71.



S. Solovyov, *Categorically-algebraic frameworks for Priestley duality*, to appear in Contr. Gen. Alg. 19.



S. Solovyov, *Hypergraph functor and attachment*, to appear in Fuzzy Sets Syst.



S. Solovyov, *Powerset operator foundations for catalg fuzzy set theories*, to appear in Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.



S. Vickers, *Topology via Logic*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Thank you for your attention!