

Nilpotents in a semigroup of partial automorphisms of a rooted tree

Eugenia Kochubinska

Kiev National Taras Shevchenko University

22 June 2010

Outline

- Partial automorphisms semigroup of rooted trees and partial wreath product.

Outline

- Partial automorphisms semigroup of rooted trees and partial wreath product.
- Nilpotent subsemigroups. Generalities.

Outline

- Partial automorphisms semigroup of rooted trees and partial wreath product.
- Nilpotent subsemigroups. Generalities.
- Nilpotent subsemigroups in $\text{PAut } T$.

Outline

- Partial automorphisms semigroup of rooted trees and partial wreath product.
- Nilpotent subsemigroups. Generalities.
- Nilpotent subsemigroups in $\text{PAut } T$.
- References.

Let T be a rooted k -level n -regular tree.

Let $\text{PAut } T$ be the semigroup of partial automorphisms of the tree T , defined on a connected subgraph containing root and preserving the level of vertices.

$\text{PAut } T$ is an inverse semigroup.

For a semigroup S define S^{PX} by

$$S^{PX} = \{f : \text{dom}(f) \subseteq X \rightarrow S\}.$$

For a semigroup S define S^{PX} by

$$S^{PX} = \{f : \text{dom}(f) \subseteq X \rightarrow S\}.$$

For $f, g \in S^{PX}$, define the product fg by:

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad x \in \text{dom}(fg) = \text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g).$$

For a semigroup S define S^{PX} by

$$S^{PX} = \{f : \text{dom}(f) \subseteq X \rightarrow S\}.$$

For $f, g \in S^{PX}$, define the product fg by:

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad x \in \text{dom}(fg) = \text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g).$$

If $a \in \mathcal{IS}(X)$, $f \in S^{PX}$, we define f^a by:

$$(f^a)(x) = f(x^a), \quad x \in \text{dom}(f^a) = \text{dom}(a) \cap \{x : x^a \in \text{dom}(f)\}$$

Definition

Partial wreath product of semigroup S with semigroup (P, X) of partial transformations of the set X is the set

$$\{(f, a) \in S^{P^X} \times (P, X) \mid \text{dom}(f) = \text{dom}(a)\}$$

with composition defined by $(f, a) \cdot (g, b) = (fg^a, ab)$.

Definition

Partial wreath product of semigroup S with semigroup (P, X) of partial transformations of the set X is the set

$$\{(f, a) \in S^{P^X} \times (P, X) \mid \text{dom}(f) = \text{dom}(a)\}$$

with composition defined by $(f, a) \cdot (g, b) = (fg^a, ab)$.

The partial wreath product of two semigroup is a semigroup itself. Moreover, partial wreath product of inverse semigroups is an inverse semigroup.

Proposition

Let T be a rooted k -level n -regular tree. Then

$$\text{PAut } T \cong \mathcal{IS}_n \wr_p \dots \wr_p \mathcal{IS}_n = \wr_p^k \mathcal{IS}_n.$$

In a paper [1] it has been shown that there about 1.8 million of non-equivalent semigroups of order 8 and 99% of them are nilpotent.

Let S be a semigroup with a zero element 0 .

An element $a \in S$ is called *nilpotent* if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $a^k = 0$

Semigroup S is called *nilpotent* if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k = 0$$

for arbitrary $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in S$.

We distinguish two classes of nilpotent subsemigroups:

We distinguish two classes of nilpotent subsemigroups:

First class includes nilpotent subsemigroup containing semigroup zero 0 .

In this case we have $T^k = \{0\}$ for some $k > 0$.

Second class includes subsemigroups of S , which are nilpotent as semigroups, but their zero element differs from 0.

Second class includes subsemigroups of S , which are nilpotent as semigroups, but their zero element differs from 0.

In this case we have $T^k = \{e\}$ for some idempotent $e \neq \text{id}$ and some $k \geq 1$.

Second class includes subsemigroups of S , which are nilpotent as semigroups, but their zero element differs from 0.

In this case we have $T^k = \{e\}$ for some idempotent $e \neq \text{id}$ and some $k \geq 1$.

We will call these subsemigroups *proper nilpotent subsemigroups*.

Second class includes subsemigroups of S , which are nilpotent as semigroups, but their zero element differs from 0.

In this case we have $T^k = \{e\}$ for some idempotent $e \neq \text{id}$ and some $k \geq 1$.

We will call these subsemigroups *proper nilpotent subsemigroups*.

A nilpotent subsemigroup $T \subset S$ is called *maximal nilpotent subsemigroup*, if it is not contained in any other nilpotent subsemigroup $T' \subset S$, $T \neq T'$.

For a semigroup \mathcal{IS}_n there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal nilpotent subsemigroups and partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into disjoint union of ordered blocks:

For a semigroup \mathcal{IS}_n there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal nilpotent subsemigroups and partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into disjoint union of ordered blocks:

If e is an idempotent, which is the zero in a $T \subset \mathcal{IS}_n$, and $\overline{\text{dom}(e)} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$, then every maximal nilpotent subsemigroup corresponds to a permutation b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and has the form:

$$T = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathcal{IS}_n \mid \text{dom}(e) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma); \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \text{dom}(e); \right. \\ \left. \sigma(b_i) = b_j \text{ implies } i < j \text{ for all } b_i \notin \text{dom}(e) \right\}$$

Details can be found in [2].

Consider maximal nilpotent subsemigroups (those containing the semigroup zero) in a slightly more general setting.

Let P be an inverse semigroup.

An element $(f, a) \in P \wr_p \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent iff $a \in \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent.

Consider maximal nilpotent subsemigroups (those containing the semigroup zero) in a slightly more general setting.

Let P be an inverse semigroup.

An element $(f, a) \in P \lambda_p \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent iff $a \in \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent.

Proposition

Let S be a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of the semigroup \mathcal{IS}_n . Then subsemigroup $P \lambda_p S$ is a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of the semigroup $P \lambda_p \mathcal{IS}_n$. Moreover, every maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of semigroup $P \lambda_p \mathcal{IS}_n$ is of this form.

Consider maximal nilpotent subsemigroups (those containing the semigroup zero) in a slightly more general setting.

Let P be an inverse semigroup.

An element $(f, a) \in P \wr_p \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent iff $a \in \mathcal{IS}_n$ is nilpotent.

Proposition

Let S be a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of the semigroup \mathcal{IS}_n . Then subsemigroup $P \wr_p S$ is a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of the semigroup $P \wr_p \mathcal{IS}_n$. Moreover, every maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of semigroup $P \wr_p \mathcal{IS}_n$ is of this form.

Corollary

Maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of semigroup $\wr_p^k \mathcal{IS}_n$ are those having the form $(\wr_p^{k-1} \mathcal{IS}_n) \wr_p S$, where S is a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of the semigroup \mathcal{IS}_n .

Now consider proper maximal nilpotent subsemigroups.

Let T be a proper nilpotent subsemigroup of $\text{PAut } T$ with a zero $e \in E(\text{PAut } T)$. Denote by T_x the maximal subtree of T such that its root is $x \in VT$ and none of the edge of T_x is in $\text{dom}(e)$.

Now consider proper maximal nilpotent subsemigroups.

Let T be a proper nilpotent subsemigroup of $\text{PAut } T$ with a zero $e \in E(\text{PAut } T)$. Denote by T_x the maximal subtree of T such that its root is $x \in VT$ and none of the edge of T_x is in $\text{dom}(e)$.

Theorem

Proper maximal subsemigroup of $\text{PAut } T$ is (canonically) isomorphic to

$$\prod_{x \in \text{dom}(e)} \text{Nilp}_x,$$

where Nilp_x is a maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of $\text{PAut } T_x$.

The cardinality of a maximal subsemigroup of $P\lambda_p\mathcal{IS}_n$ is equal to

$$|P|^n B_n \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \right),$$

where $B_n(x)$ denotes the n th Bell polynomial.

Consequently, the cardinality of a maximal subsemigroup of $\lambda_p^k\mathcal{IS}_n$ is

$$\left| \lambda_p^{k-1}\mathcal{IS}_n \right|^n B_n \left(\frac{1}{\left| \lambda_p^{k-1}\mathcal{IS}_n \right|} \right).$$

The cardinality of a maximal subsemigroup of $P\zeta_p\mathcal{IS}_n$ is equal to

$$|P|^n B_n \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \right),$$

where $B_n(x)$ denotes the n th Bell polynomial.

Consequently, the cardinality of a maximal subsemigroup of $\zeta_p^k\mathcal{IS}_n$ is

$$\left| \zeta_p^{k-1}\mathcal{IS}_n \right|^n B_n \left(\frac{1}{\left| \zeta_p^{k-1}\mathcal{IS}_n \right|} \right).$$

Denote $F^k(x) = \underbrace{F(F \dots (F(x)) \dots)}_k$.




$$\left| \zeta_p^k\mathcal{IS}_n \right| = F^k(1),$$

where $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i}^2 i! x^i$

The number of proper maximal nilpotent subsemigroups of $\text{PAut } T$ with a zero $e \in E(\text{PAut } T)$ equals

$$\prod_{x \in \text{dom}(e)} (k_x)!,$$

where k_x is the number of vertices of the first level of the tree T_x .

-  Satoh, S., Yama, K. and Tokizawa M. *Semigroups of order 8*. Semigroup Forum, Vol. 49, No. 1, 1994.
-  O. Ganyushkin, V. Mazorchuk, *Classical Finite Transformation Semigroup. An Introduction*. Series: Algebra and Applications, Vol. 9. Springer, 2009.
-  J. P. D. Meldrum, *Wreath products of groups and semigroups*. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 74. Harlow, Essex: Longman Group Ltd., 1995.