

Proper Classes associated to Grothendieck Categories

Fernando Cornejo Montaño and Francisco Raggi

Instituto de Matemáticas.
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Prague, June 2010

Contents

- Proper classes
- Torsion Theories (Hereditary)

Contents

- Proper classes
- Torsion Theories (Hereditary)

Contents

- Proper classes
- Torsion Theories (Hereditary)

Notation

- R is an associative ring with unit.
- $R\text{-Mod}$ is the category of left R -modules.
- $R\text{-simp}$ is a complete irredundant set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple left R -modules.

Notation

- R is an associative ring with unit.
- $R\text{-Mod}$ is the category of left R -modules.
- $R\text{-simp}$ is a complete irredundant set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple left R -modules.

Notation

- R is an associative ring with unit.
- $R\text{-Mod}$ is the category of left R -modules.
- $R\text{-simp}$ is a complete irredundant set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple left R -modules.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

A **Proper Class** in $R\text{-mod}$ is a family \mathcal{E} , of short exact sequences of left R -modules such that, if we denote by \mathcal{E}_m the monics of sequences of \mathcal{E} and by \mathcal{E}_e the epics of sequences of \mathcal{E} , then the following conditions hold:

P0 \mathcal{E} is closed under isomorphisms.

P1 All the splitting short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E}

P2 If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$ when the composition makes sense.

P2' If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ then $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$ when the composition makes sense.

P3 If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_m$.

P3' If $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E}_e$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_e$.

Definition

- A Proper Class **Injectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the greatest proper class $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ such that each module in \mathcal{O} is injective for all short exact sequence in $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$
- A Proper Class **Coinjectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the least proper class $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$ such that all the short exact sequences $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, where C is in \mathcal{O} , are in $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition

- A Proper Class **Injectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the greatest proper class $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ such that each module in \mathcal{O} is injective for all short exact sequence in $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$
- A Proper Class **Coinjectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the least proper class $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$ such that all the short exact sequences $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, where C is in \mathcal{O} , are in $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition

- A Proper Class **Injectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the greatest proper class $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ such that each module in \mathcal{O} is injective for all short exact sequence in $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$
- A Proper Class **Coinjectively Generated** by a family of modules \mathcal{O} is the least proper class $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$ such that all the short exact sequences $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, where C is in \mathcal{O} , are in $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition

- **Injective Relative** If \mathcal{E} is a class of short exact sequences. We say that a R -module M is injective relative to \mathcal{E} if it is injective for all the short exact sequences in \mathcal{E} .
- **Coinjective Relative** A module M is coinjective relative to \mathcal{E} if all the short exact sequences that end in M are in \mathcal{E}

Definition

- **Injective Relative** If \mathcal{E} is a class of short exact sequences. We say that a R -module M is injective relative to \mathcal{E} if it is injective for all the short exact sequences in \mathcal{E} .
- **Coinjective Relative** A module M is coinjective relative to \mathcal{E} if all the short exact sequences that end in M are in \mathcal{E}

Notation: We use SEC for denote the family of all the short exact sequences in R-mod .

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq R - \text{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{SEC}$

- $K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$
- $K_i(\mathcal{D}) \subset K_i(\mathcal{E})$
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then $K_i(\mathcal{E})$ is a class closed under extensions, proper submodules, finite direct sums.
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then a R -module M is \mathcal{E} -coinjective if and only if A is a proper subgroup of I , for I some injective module.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq R - \text{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{SEC}$

- $K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$
- $K_i(\mathcal{D}) \subset K_i(\mathcal{E})$
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then $K_i(\mathcal{E})$ is a class closed under extensions, proper submodules, finite direct sums.
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then a R -module M is \mathcal{E} – coinjective if and only if A is a proper subgroup of I , for I some injective module.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq R - \text{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{SEC}$

- $K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$
- $K_i(\mathcal{D}) \subset K_i(\mathcal{E})$
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then $K_i(\mathcal{E})$ is a class closed under extensions, proper submodules, finite direct sums.
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then a R -module M is \mathcal{E} – coinjective if and only if A is a proper subgroup of I , for I some injective module.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq R - \text{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{SEC}$

- $K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$
- $K_i(\mathcal{D}) \subset K_i(\mathcal{E})$
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then $K_i(\mathcal{E})$ is a class closed under extensions, proper submodules, finite direct sums.
- If \mathcal{E} is a proper class, then a R -module M is \mathcal{E} – coinjective if and only if A is a proper subgroup of I , for I some injective module.

Example 1: Consider the category of Abelian Groups. Then $H = \{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \text{ is pure in } B\}$ is a proper class and and

- $K_p(H)$
- $K_i(H) = \text{Pure subgroups of divisibles} = \text{divisibles}$
- $H = \iota^{-1}(\text{Cocyclics})$
where Cocyclics are the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} p is prime
- $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{cyclics})$
- H has enough projectives and injectives

Example 1: Consider the category of Abelian Groups. Then $H = \{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \text{ is pure in } B\}$ is a proper class and and

- $K_p(H)$
- $K_i(H) = \text{Pure subgroups of divisibles} = \text{divisibles}$
- $H = \iota^{-1}(\text{Cocyclics})$
where Cocyclics are the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} p is prime
- $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{cyclics})$
- H has enough projectives and injectives

Example 1: Consider the category of Abelian Groups. Then $H = \{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \text{ is pure in } B\}$ is a proper class and and

- $K_p(H)$
- $K_i(H) = \text{Pure subgroups of divisibles} = \text{divisibles}$
- $H = \iota^{-1}(\text{Cocyclics})$
where Cocyclics are the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} p is prime
- $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{cyclics})$
- H has enough projectives and injectives

Example 1: Consider the category of Abelian Groups. Then $H = \{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \text{ is pure in } B\}$ is a proper class and and

- $K_p(H)$
- $K_i(H) = \text{Pure subgroups of divisibles} = \text{divisibles}$
- $H = \iota^{-1}(\text{Cocyclics})$
where Cocyclics are the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} p is prime
- $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{cyclics})$
- H has enough projectives and injectives

Example 1: Consider the category of Abelian Groups. Then $H = \{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \text{ is pure in } B\}$ is a proper class and and

- $K_p(H)$
- $K_i(H) = \text{Pure subgroups of divisibles} = \text{divisibles}$
- $H = \iota^{-1}(\text{Cocyclics})$
where Cocyclics are the groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} p is prime
- $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{cyclics})$
- H has enough projectives and injectives

Definition

A Torsion Theory is a couple $\tau = (\mathcal{I}_\tau, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$ of classes of modules such that:

- $\mathcal{I}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}_\tau = 0$
- \mathcal{I}_τ is closed under quotients
- \mathcal{F}_τ is closed under submodules
- For each module D , there exist $A \in \mathcal{I}_\tau$ and $C \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ such that $A \twoheadrightarrow D \twoheadrightarrow C$ is exact.

Definition

An hereditary torsion theory is a torsion theory such that \mathcal{T}_τ is closed under submodules.

In the following we consider torsion theory instead of hereditary torsion theory unless otherwise stated.

We denote as \mathcal{R} -tors the family of the hereditary torsion theories.

Definition

An hereditary torsion theory is a torsion theory such that \mathcal{T}_τ is closed under submodules.

In the following we consider torsion theory instead of hereditary torsion theory unless otherwise stated.

We denote as \mathcal{R} -tors the family of the hereditary torsion theories.

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -injective if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -projective if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -injective if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -projective if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -injective if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that an R -module M is τ -projective if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{T}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -divisible if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -codivisible if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -divisible if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -codivisible if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -divisible if it is injective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \mid C \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Definition

If $\tau \in R - tors$ we say that a R -module M is τ -codivisible if it is projective for all the short exact sequences

$$\{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \mid A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

Theorem

(Walker) Let τ be a torsion theory and \mathcal{D} the family of short exact sequences $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$ such that the induced sequence $A/T(A) \twoheadrightarrow B/T(B) \twoheadrightarrow C/T(C)$ is exact and splits, then $\mathcal{D} = \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$

Theorem

(Walker) Let τ be a torsion theory and \mathcal{D} the family of short exact sequences $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$ such that the induced sequence $A/T(A) \twoheadrightarrow B/T(B) \twoheadrightarrow C/T(C)$ is exact and splits, then $\mathcal{D} = \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$

Theorem

The left R -module M is projective relative to the proper class $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(M, L) = 0$ for all L in \mathcal{T}_τ

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$${}^{\iota}K_p^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_\tau) = \tau - \text{injectives}$$

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$$K_i\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_\tau) = \tau - \text{injectives}$$

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$$\pi K_i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau) = \tau - \text{codivisibles}$$

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$$K_p \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau) = \tau - \text{codivisibles}$$

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$$K_{p\ell}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}\text{-injectives}) \supseteq \mathcal{T}_\tau$$

Theorem

Let τ an hereditary torsion theory, then

$$K_i\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}\text{-codivisibles}) \supseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$$

Example 2

Consider the category of abelian groups and let \mathcal{D} the class of the divisible groups \mathcal{R} the class of the reduced groups. the Pair $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R})$ is an hereditary torsion theory and we have the following:

Example 3

Definition

Let $E : A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$ a short exact sequence and $h_n : C \rightarrow C$ such that $h_n(x) = nx$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that E is quasi-pure if Eh_n is pure for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

All the short exact sequences quasi-pure form a proper class.

Now, if we consider the proper classes

$$\mathcal{K}_i^{-1} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\tau - \text{codivisibles})$$

we observe that

$$\pi \mathcal{K}_i^{-1} = \pi \pi^{-1}(\tau - \text{codivisibles}) = \tau - \text{codivisibles}$$

We also consider the concept of cover τ -projective

Some Examples

Theorem

- *M is $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ -projective if and only if M is a direct summand of a direct sum of projective and torsion modules.*
- *M es $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ -coprojective if and only if M es τ -codivisible.*

Anderson, Frank W. and Fuller, Kent R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

MacLane, Saunders (1963). *Homology*.

Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

Pancar, Ali, *Generation of proper classes of short exact sequences*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 20, no.3, p. 465-473, 1997.

Raggi Cárdenas, Francisco. Ríos Montes, José. *Proper classes associated to torsion theories*. Communications in Algebra, Vol. 15, Issue 3 1987 , p 575 - 587.

Raggi Cárdenas, Francisco. Ríos Montes, José. *Sublattices of R-tors Associated to proper classes*. Communications in Algebra, 1532-4125, Volume 15, Issue 3, 1987, p 555 -573.

Sklyarenko, E.G. *Relative homological algebra in categories of modules*. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 33 No. 3, 97-137

(1978).

Stenstroem, Bo T. *Pure submodules*. Arkiv. for Mat. Bd 7 nr 10. (1967), 159-171.

Stenstroem Bo. T. *Rings of quotients* (Springer-Verlag, 1975).

Percy Walker, C. L., *Relative homological algebra and Abelian groups*, Illinois J. Math., 10, 186-209 (1966)