

The Dichotomy for Conservative Constraint Satisfaction Problems Revisited

Libor Barto

McMaster University
and
Charles University

LICS 2011, Toronto, June 2011

Fixed template CSPs

Γ ... **template** ... fixed set of relations on a finite set (**domain**) A

Definition (CSP(Γ) - Constraint Satisfaction Problem over Γ)

INPUT: Formula of the form

$$(x_1, x_2) \in R_1 \ \& \ (x_3, x_1, x_3, x_4) \in R_2 \ \& \ x_7 \in R_3 \ \& \dots$$

where each R_i is in Γ (R_1 binary, R_4 4-ary, R_3 unary)

(i.e. a conjunction of atomic formulas over Γ)

QUESTION: Is the formula satisfiable?

Examples: Various forms of SAT, (Di)graph reachability,
Equations over ...

Alternative formulation (if Γ is finite): the homomorphism problem
with a fixed target relational structure

The dichotomy conjecture

Conjecture (Feder, Vardi 93, generalized version)

For every Γ , $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is tractable or NP-complete.

Recent (2000 –) highlights:

- (0) It is a universal algebraic problem Bulatov, Jeavons, Krokhin
- (1) Conjecture is true when $|A| \leq 3$ Bulatov
- (2) Conjecture is true if Γ contains all unary relations on A
(so called conservative CSPs) Bulatov
- (3) Applicability of “Gaussian elimination like” methods
characterized Dalmau, Bulatov, Berman, Idziak, Marković,
McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard
- (4) Applicability of local consistency methods characterized Barto,
Kozik
- (5) A couple of nice tricks Maróti

- (1) Conjecture is true when $|A| \leq 3$
- (2) Conjecture is true for conservative templates
 - ▶ Proofs use heavy universal algebraic machinery
(\Rightarrow hard to understand for a non-specialist)
 - ▶ Long and complicated
(\Rightarrow hard to understand for a specialist)
 - ▶ Techniques very specific for the problem
- (3) Applicability of “Gaussian elimination like” methods
- (4) Applicability of local consistency methods
 - ▶ Proofs don't use any heavy machinery
 - ▶ Bring new general notions and results, applicable elsewhere

To move on we need to understand (1),(2) better.

Good times, bad times **Led Zeppelin**

- (1) Conjecture is true when $|A| \leq 3$
- (2) Conjecture is true for conservative templates
- (3) Applicability of “Gaussian elimination like” methods
- (4) Applicability of local consistency methods
- (5) A couple of nice tricks

Fortunately (1),(2) are consequences of (3),(4),(5):

- (1') Conjecture is true when $|A| \leq 3$ (4?) [Marković et al](#)
(2') [Conjecture is true for conservative templates](#) [Barto](#)

Also...

- (4') Applicability of local consistency methods [Bulatov](#)
▶ Using similar techniques as original proofs of (1) and (2)

Polymorphisms

polymorphism of Γ ... an operation on A compatible with all relations in Γ

Theorem (Bulatov, Jeavons, Krokhin)

If Γ has no “nice” polymorphisms, then $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is NP-complete

Where “nice” for core $\Gamma =$ e.g. cyclic... $t(x, \dots, x) = x$, $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = t(x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1)$ Barto, Kozik

Conjecture (Bulatov, Jeavons, Krokhin)

If Γ has a “nice” polymorphism, then $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is tractable.

Similar conjectures for finer complexity classification.

The algorithm

Theorem

If Γ is a conservative template which has a “nice” polymorphism, then $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is tractable.

Proof: Algorithm for domains of size $k \rightarrow$ alg for doms of size $k + 1$ (simplified, but not too much):

- (Step 1) Transform the instance to an equivalent instance which is consistent enough
- (Step 2) Find a small restriction which is still consistent enough (4)
- (Step 3) Use the algorithm for smaller domains (to certain restricted instances). Either we find a solution, or we can delete some elements and repeat, or
- (Step 4) If you cannot delete anything, use (3)

Step 2 - Finding small, consistent enough restriction

Let Γ be a fixed conservative template (on the domain A).

Definition

Let $C \subseteq A$.

A subset $B \subseteq C$ is an *absorbing subuniverse* of C , if there exists a polymorphism t of Γ such that

$$t(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in B$$

whenever all a_i 's are in C and

all a_i 's but at most one are in B

- ▶ Start with a proper absorbing subuniverse
- ▶ Walk until you stabilize
- ▶ Restrict
- ▶ Repeat

A conversation

CS guy: Hi, I have this conservative tractable template Γ . Give me the P-time algorithm for solving CSP over Γ !

me: Hi, first you have to give me a list of all absorbing subuniverses of all subsets of A .

CS guy: ?????????????? ok, how do I find them?

me: I don't know. I don't know whether it's decidable that a given set is an absorbing subuniverse of A for a given set Γ of relations on A (or of a given algebra)...

CS guy: So you proved that a P-time algorithm exists without providing the algorithm????

me: Yes.

CS guy: I don't like it.

me: I love it.

CS guy: See you.

me: See you.

A note on binary constraints

Using (Hell, Rafiey or Kazda) and ((2) or (4)):

Theorem

If Γ is conservative and contains only at most binary relations, then $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is solvable by local consistency methods, or NP-complete.

Thank you!!!