

Orthogonal decompositions in the growth curve model

Daniel Klein

Univerzita P.J. Šafárika, Košice

Robust 2010

31. 5. – 5. 2. 2010, Kráľíky

- The idea of orthogonal decompositions in the growth curve model appeared in 2009 in the papers of chinese mathematicians Jianhua Hu, Ren-Dao Ye a Song-Gui Wang. Many tasks, which are very difficult or impossible to handle in basic models, can be done with ease in models consisting of mutually orthogonal components.

- The idea of orthogonal decompositions in the growth curve model appeared in 2009 in the papers of chinese mathematicians Jianhua Hu, Ren-Dao Ye a Song-Gui Wang. Many tasks, which are very difficult or impossible to handle in basic models, can be done with ease in models consisting of mutually orthogonal components.
- Simple transformation can change a model into an equivalent which allows to determine explicit forms of estimators and/or their distribution.

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).
- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

where

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).
- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

where

- $Y_{n \times p}$ is matrix of p -variate independent observations,

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).
- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

where

- $Y_{n \times p}$ is matrix of p -variate independent observations,
- $X_{n \times m}$ and $Z_{r \times p}$ are known design matrices (X is ANOVA matrix and Z is a matrix of regression constants),

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).
- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

where

- $Y_{n \times p}$ is matrix of p -variate independent observations,
- $X_{n \times m}$ and $Z_{r \times p}$ are known design matrices (X is ANOVA matrix and Z is a matrix of regression constants),
- $B_{m \times r}$ is a matrix of unknown parameters of first order,

- The basic model is the growth curve model introduced in 1964 by Potthoff & Roy. It often appears in many areas (medicine, biology, psychology, economics, ...).
- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

where

- $Y_{n \times p}$ is matrix of p -variate independent observations,
- $X_{n \times m}$ and $Z_{r \times p}$ are known design matrices (X is ANOVA matrix and Z is a matrix of regression constants),
- $B_{m \times r}$ is a matrix of unknown parameters of first order,
- $e_{n \times p}$ is an error matrix.

- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

$$Ee = 0,$$

- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E e &= 0, \\ \text{Var}(\text{vec } e) &= \Sigma \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

vec operator stacks elements of a matrix into a vector column-wise and \otimes denotes the Kronecker product of matrices.

- Model:

$$Y = XBZ + e,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E e &= 0, \\ \text{Var}(\text{vec } e) &= \Sigma \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

vec operator stacks elements of a matrix into a vector column-wise and \otimes denotes the Kronecker product of matrices.

- We assume that e'_1, \dots, e'_n are p -variate independent normally distributed vectors with variance matrix Σ . Here e_i denotes the row of matrix e .

Uniform correlation structure

- There is no problem estimating Σ when it is completely unknown. Under normality, its uniformly minimum variance unbiased invariant estimator (UMVUIE) is

$$S = \frac{1}{n - r(X)} Y' M_X Y,$$

where $M_X = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$.

Uniform correlation structure

- There is no problem estimating Σ when it is completely unknown. Under normality, its uniformly minimum variance unbiased invariant estimator (UMVUIE) is

$$S = \frac{1}{n - r(X)} Y' M_X Y,$$

where $M_X = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$.

- Problems arise in situations when the structure is partially known. One of the most common structures is the uniform correlation structure:

$$\Sigma = \sigma^2((1 - \rho)I_p + \rho \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}_p'),$$

where $\sigma^2 > 0$ and $\rho \in \left\langle -\frac{1}{p-1}, 1 \right\rangle$ are unknown parameters.

- Žežula (2006) introduced simple estimators of both parameters based on S :

$$\hat{\sigma}_S^2 = \frac{\text{Tr}(S)}{p},$$
$$\hat{\rho}_S = \frac{1}{p-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}'S\mathbf{1}}{\text{Tr}(S)} - 1 \right).$$

- Žežula (2006) introduced simple estimators of both parameters based on S :

$$\hat{\sigma}_S^2 = \frac{\text{Tr}(S)}{p},$$
$$\hat{\rho}_S = \frac{1}{p-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}'S\mathbf{1}}{\text{Tr}(S)} - 1 \right).$$

- Both estimators are based on unbiased estimating equations, however, the estimator $\hat{\rho}_S$ is biased, and the boundaries are $-\frac{1}{p-1} \leq \hat{\rho}_S \leq 1$

- The properties of estimator of $\hat{\rho}_S$:

- The properties of estimator of $\hat{\rho}_S$:
 - $E \hat{\rho}_S = \rho - \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \rho(1-\rho) \frac{1+(p-1)\rho}{p} + O(n^{-2})$,

- The properties of estimator of $\hat{\rho}_S$:
 - $E \hat{\rho}_S = \rho - \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \rho(1-\rho) \frac{1+(p-1)\rho}{p} + O(n^{-2})$,
 - $MSE \hat{\rho}_S = \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{(1-\rho)^2 [1+(p-1)\rho]^2}{\rho(p-1)} + O(n^{-2})$,

- The properties of estimator of $\hat{\rho}_S$:
 - $E \hat{\rho}_S = \rho - \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \rho(1-\rho) \frac{1+(p-1)\rho}{p} + O(n^{-2})$,
 - $MSE \hat{\rho}_S = \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{(1-\rho)^2 [1+(p-1)\rho]^2}{p(p-1)} + O(n^{-2})$,
 - the distribution of $\hat{\rho}_S$ was an open problem for a long time

- The properties of estimator of $\hat{\rho}_S$:
 - $E \hat{\rho}_S = \rho - \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \rho(1-\rho) \frac{1+(p-1)\rho}{p} + O(n^{-2})$,
 - $MSE \hat{\rho}_S = \frac{2}{n-r(X)} \cdot \frac{(1-\rho)^2 [1+(p-1)\rho]^2}{p(p-1)} + O(n^{-2})$,
 - the distribution of $\hat{\rho}_S$ was an open problem for a long time
- Using the transformation

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\frac{1}{p-1} + \hat{\rho}_S}{1 - \hat{\rho}_S} \right),$$

asymptotic normality can be achieved.

Uniform correlation structure

- Žežula → used directly the basic model.
Ye&Wang → an idea to use not directly basic model but modified model with orthogonal decomposition:

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2,$$

where

$$Y_1 = YP_1 = XBZ'P_1 + e_1,$$

$$Y_2 = YM_1 = XBZ'M_1 + e_2.$$

Here $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)'$ a $P_1 = \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1})^{-1}\mathbf{1}'$, $M_1 = I - P_1$.

- Then the estimators are based on

$$V_1 = P_1 S P_1, \quad V_2 = M_1 S M_1,$$

$S = \frac{1}{n-r(X)} Y' M_X Y$ is UMVUIE of Σ , the estimator on which are based $\hat{\sigma}_S^2$ a $\hat{\rho}_S$.

- Then the estimators are based on

$$V_1 = P_1 S P_1, \quad V_2 = M_1 S M_1,$$

$S = \frac{1}{n-r(X)} Y' M_X Y$ is UMVUIE of Σ , the estimator on which are based $\hat{\sigma}_S^2$ a $\hat{\rho}_S$.

- The formulas:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{YW}^2 = \frac{\text{Tr}(V_1) + \text{Tr}(V_2)}{p},$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{YW} = 1 - \frac{p \text{Tr}(V_2)}{(p-1)(\text{Tr}(V_1) + \text{Tr}(V_2))},$$

Uniform correlation structure

- It is easy to show that these estimators are equivalent, i.e. $\hat{\sigma}_S^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{YW}^2$ and $\hat{\rho}_S = \hat{\rho}_{YW}$ for any Y .

Uniform correlation structure

- It is easy to show that these estimators are equivalent, i.e. $\hat{\sigma}_S^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{YW}^2$ and $\hat{\rho}_S = \hat{\rho}_{YW}$ for any Y .
- However, orthogonal decomposition is very useful for derivation of the distribution of estimators in an easy way:
Distributions of $\text{Tr}(V_1)$ and $\text{Tr}(V_2)$ are independent,

$$\text{Tr}(V_1) \sim \frac{\sigma^2[1 + (\rho - 1)\rho]}{n - r(X)} \chi_{n-r(X)}^2,$$

$$\text{Tr}(V_2) \sim \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho)}{n - r(X)} \chi_{(\rho-1)(n-r(X))}^2,$$

so that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho(n - r(X))} \left[(1 + (\rho - 1)\rho) \chi_{n-r(X)}^2 + (1 - \rho) \chi_{(\rho-1)(n-r(X))}^2 \right],$$
$$\frac{1 - \rho}{1 + (\rho - 1)\rho} \left[\frac{1 + (\rho - 1)\hat{\rho}}{1 - \hat{\rho}} \right] \sim F_{n-r(X), (\rho-1)(n-r(X))}.$$

Uniform correlation structure

- This result is not very useful with respect to $\hat{\sigma}^2$, its distribution depends on both σ^2 and ρ , but enables us to test for any specific value of ρ .

100(1 - α)% confidence interval for ρ is given

$$\left(\frac{1 - c_1}{1 + (p - 1)c_1} ; \frac{1 - c_2}{1 + (p - 1)c_2} \right),$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{1 - \hat{\rho}}{1 + (p - 1)\hat{\rho}} F_{n-r(X), (p-1)(n-r(X))} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$$

and

$$c_2 = \frac{1 - \hat{\rho}}{1 + (p - 1)\hat{\rho}} F_{n-r(X), (p-1)(n-r(X))} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right).$$

Example

- Consider random sample from bivariate normal distribution with the same variances in both dimensions.

Example

- Consider random sample from bivariate normal distribution with the same variances in both dimensions.
- Formally it can be written as GCM model with the uniform correlation structure:

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ Y_{n1} & Y_{n2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}_n (\mu_1, \mu_2) I_2 + e,$$
$$e \sim N_{n \times 2} \left(\mathbf{0}_{n \times 2}, \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_n \right).$$

- Using the above mentioned estimator we get

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{2s_{12}}{s_1^2 + s_2^2},$$

where s_{12} is sample covariance of the two variables, and s_1^2 and s_2^2 sample variances.

- Using the above mentioned estimator we get

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{2s_{12}}{s_1^2 + s_2^2},$$

where s_{12} is sample covariance of the two variables, and s_1^2 and s_2^2 sample variances.

- This estimator is slightly more effective than standard sample correlation coefficient (in the sense of MSE).

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- In fact, also M. Ohlson used orthogonal decomposition in deriving the explicit estimators of linearly structured covariance matrix.

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- In fact, also M. Ohlson used orthogonal decomposition in deriving the explicit estimators of linearly structured covariance matrix.
- $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ is linearly structured if the only linear structure between the elements is given by $|\sigma_{ij}| = |\sigma_{kl}|$ and there exists at least one $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$ so that $|\sigma_{ij}| = |\sigma_{kl}|$.

EXAMPLE: Toeplitz structure

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_1 & \sigma^2 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- In fact, also M. Ohlson used orthogonal decomposition in deriving the explicit estimators of linearly structured covariance matrix.
- $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ is linearly structured if the only linear structure between the elements is given by $|\sigma_{ij}| = |\sigma_{kl}|$ and there exists at least one $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$ so that $|\sigma_{ij}| = |\sigma_{kl}|$.

EXAMPLE: Toeplitz structure

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_1 & \sigma^2 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- MLEs in such model must be found numerically.

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- Ohlson used the decomposition similar to Ye&Wang, but he made use the decomposition of the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$:

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2,$$

where

$$Y_1 = P_X Y = XBZ + e_1,$$

$$Y_2 = M_X Y = e_2.$$

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- Ohlson used the decomposition similar to Ye&Wang, but he made use the decomposition of the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$:

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2,$$

where

$$Y_1 = P_X Y = XBZ + e_1,$$

$$Y_2 = M_X Y = e_2.$$

- The idea is to decompose the total variation into two terms: $(n - r(X))S$ and $\hat{R}_1' \hat{R}_1$, where

$$\hat{R}_1 = P_X Y (M_Z^{S^{-1}})'$$

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- Ohlson used the decomposition similar to Ye&Wang, but he made use the decomposition of the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$:

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2,$$

where

$$Y_1 = P_X Y = XBZ + e_1,$$

$$Y_2 = M_X Y = e_2.$$

- The idea is to decompose the total variation into two terms: $(n - r(X))S$ and $\hat{R}_1' \hat{R}_1$, where

$$\hat{R}_1 = P_X Y (M_Z^{S^{-1}})'$$

- The total variation is the sums of this two terms.

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- The first step: using the variation as when obtaining the estimator for Σ in unstructured case, in fact, it is the estimator of Σ in the model Y_2 using least square method:

$$\text{vec } \hat{\Sigma}_1 = T'(TT')^{-1} T \text{vec } S,$$

where T is matrix so that $T \text{vec } \Sigma = \text{vec } \Sigma(K)$. Here $\text{vec } \Sigma(K)$ is the columnwise vectorized form of Σ where all 0 and repeated elements have been disregarded.

Linearly structured covariance matrix

- The first step: using the variation as when obtaining the estimator for Σ in unstructured case, in fact, it is the estimator of Σ in the model Y_2 using least square method:

$$\text{vec } \hat{\Sigma}_1 = T'(TT')^{-1} T \text{vec } S,$$

where T is matrix so that $T \text{vec } \Sigma = \text{vec } \Sigma(K)$. Here $\text{vec } \Sigma(K)$ is the columnwise vectorized form of Σ where all 0 and repeated elements have been disregarded.

- The final estimator is derived by the least square method using the total variation $(n - r(X))S + \hat{R}'_1 \hat{R}_1$, with

$$\hat{R}_1 = P_X Y (M_{Z'}^{\hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1}})'$$

- The extended growth curve model (ECGM) with fixed effects (sum-of-profiles model) is

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i B_i Z_i' + e, \quad e \sim N_{n \times p}(0, \Sigma \otimes I_n).$$

- The extended growth curve model (ECGM) with fixed effects (sum-of-profiles model) is

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i B_i Z_i' + e, \quad e \sim N_{n \times p}(0, \Sigma \otimes I_n).$$

- Usually it is supposed that the column spaces of X_i 's are ordered

$$\mathcal{M}(X_k) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X_1),$$

and nothing is said about different Z_i 's.

- The extended growth curve model (ECGM) with fixed effects (sum-of-profiles model) is

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i B_i Z_i' + e, \quad e \sim N_{n \times p}(0, \Sigma \otimes I_n).$$

- Usually it is supposed that the column spaces of X_i 's are ordered

$$\mathcal{M}(X_k) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X_1),$$

and nothing is said about different Z_i 's.

- Now, the idea is to separate groups rather than models and so consider

$$X_i' X_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

- Since we consider that X_i 's are ANOVA matrices and all X_i 's and Z_i 's are of full rank, it can be shown that the two models are equivalent:

- Since we consider that X_i 's are ANOVA matrices and all X_i 's and Z_i 's are of full rank, it can be shown that the two models are equivalent:
 - X_i 's are 0 – 1 matrices whose columns are indicators of different groups,

- Since we consider that X_i 's are ANOVA matrices and all X_i 's and Z_i 's are of full rank, it can be shown that the two models are equivalent:
 - X_i 's are 0 – 1 matrices whose columns are indicators of different groups,
 - we can assume that all columns of X_1 are perpendicular and columns of every X_i are a subset of columns of X_{i-1} ,

- Since we consider that X_i 's are ANOVA matrices and all X_i 's and Z_i 's are of full rank, it can be shown that the two models are equivalent:
 - X_i 's are 0 – 1 matrices whose columns are indicators of different groups,
 - we can assume that all columns of X_1 are perpendicular and columns of every X_i are a subset of columns of X_{i-1} ,
 - we define

$$X_k^* = X_k,$$

$$X_i^* = X_i \setminus X_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Here $X_i \setminus X_{i+1}$ consists of those columns of X_i which are not in X_{i+1} .

- Then we can reformulate the model

$$\sum_{i=1}^k X_i B_i Z_i' = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j^* B_j^* Z_j^{*'},$$

where

$$Z_i^* = (Z_1, \dots, Z_i), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k,$$

which implies $\mathcal{R}(Z_1^*) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{R}(Z_k^*)$.

EXAMPLE

- Consider EGCM with two groups with different growth patterns – linear and quadratic:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 t_j + e_{ij}, & i = 1, \dots, n_1, j = 1, \dots, p, \\ &= \beta_3 + \beta_4 t_j + \beta_5 t_j^2 + e_{ij}, & i = n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2, j = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

- Consider EGCM with two groups with different growth patterns – linear and quadratic:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 t_j + e_{ij}, & i &= 1, \dots, n_1, j = 1, \dots, p, \\ &= \beta_3 + \beta_4 t_j + \beta_5 t_j^2 + e_{ij}, & i &= n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2, j = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

- This model can be written as

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \dots & \mathbf{1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \beta_5 \begin{pmatrix} t_1^2 & \dots & t_p^2 \end{pmatrix} + e,$$

or, by the new way, as

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} (\beta_1, \beta_2) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \dots & \mathbf{1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} (\beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \dots & \mathbf{1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_p \\ t_1^2 & \dots & t_p^2 \end{pmatrix} + e.$$

- The model with a condition of perpendicular X_i 's is much easier to handle.

- The model with a condition of perpendicular X_i 's is much easier to handle.
- If all X_i^* 's and Z_i^* 's are of full rank, then all B_i^* 's are estimable and unbiased LSE

$$\hat{B}_i^* = (X_i^{*'} X_i^*)^{-1} X_i^{*'} Y \Sigma^{-1} Z_i^* (Z_i^{*'} \Sigma^{-1} Z_i^*)^{-1}$$

depend only on X_i^* and Z_i^* .

- Such a closed form was difficult to obtain in the model with ordered spaces of X_i 's. Even for two components the estimators are rather complicated:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}_1 &= (X_1'X_1)^{-1} X_1'Y\Sigma^{-1}Z_1 (Z_1'\Sigma^{-1}Z_1)^{-1} \\ &\quad - (X_1'X_1)^{-1} X_1'P_{X_2}Y \left(P_{Z_2}^{\Sigma^{-1}M_{Z_1}^{\Sigma^{-1}}} \right)' \Sigma^{-1}Z_1 (Z_1'\Sigma^{-1}Z_1)^{-1}, \\ \hat{B}_2 &= (X_2'X_2)^{-1} X_2'Y\Sigma^{-1}Z_2 \left(Z_2'\Sigma^{-1}M_{Z_1}^{\Sigma^{-1}}Z_2 \right)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

- Such a closed form was difficult to obtain in the model with ordered spaces of X_i 's. Even for two components the estimators are rather complicated:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}_1 &= (X_1'X_1)^{-1} X_1'Y\Sigma^{-1}Z_1 (Z_1'\Sigma^{-1}Z_1)^{-1} \\ &\quad - (X_1'X_1)^{-1} X_1'P_{X_2}Y \left(P_{Z_2}^{\Sigma^{-1}M_{Z_1}^{\Sigma^{-1}}} \right)' \Sigma^{-1}Z_1 (Z_1'\Sigma^{-1}Z_1)^{-1}, \\ \hat{B}_2 &= (X_2'X_2)^{-1} X_2'Y\Sigma^{-1}Z_2 \left(Z_2'\Sigma^{-1}M_{Z_1}^{\Sigma^{-1}}Z_2 \right)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

- \hat{B}_1 and \hat{B}_2 depends on both Z_1 and Z_2 , and \hat{B}_1 even on X_2 .

- Also using Ohlson method the explicit estimator of linearly structured covariance matrix can be found in an easy way:

$$\text{vec } \hat{\Sigma} = T^+ \left((T^+)' \hat{\Psi}' \hat{\Psi} T^+ \right)' \hat{\Psi}' \text{vec}(Y - \hat{Y})'(Y - \hat{Y})$$

where

$$\hat{Y} = P_{(X_1, \dots, X_k)} Y \left(P_{Z_1'}^{S^{-1}} + \dots + P_{Z_k'}^{S^{-1}} \right)',$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \sum_{i=1}^k r(X_i) M_{Z_i'}^{S^{-1}} \otimes M_{Z_i'}^{S^{-1}} + (n - \sum r(X_i)) I.$$

Thank you for your attention