

# A REMARK ON FUNCTIONS CONTINUOUS ON ALL LINES

LUDEK ZAJICEK

ABSTRACT. We prove that each linearly continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e., each function continuous on all lines) belongs to the first Baire class, which answers a problem formulated by K.C. Ciesielski and D. Miller (2016). The same result holds also for  $f$  on an arbitrary Banach space  $X$ , if  $f$  has moreover the Baire property. We also prove (extending a known finite-dimensional result) that such  $f$  on a separable  $X$  is continuous at all points outside a first category set which is also null in any usual sense.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Separately continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e., functions continuous on all lines parallel to an coordinate axis) and also linearly continuous functions (i.e., functions continuous on all lines) were investigated in a number of articles, see the survey [1].

Recall here Lebesgue result of [4] which asserts that

(1.1) each separately continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to the  $(n - 1)$ -th Baire class.

We prove (see Theorem 3.5 below) that each linearly continuous function  $f$  with the Baire property on a Banach space  $X$  belongs to the first Baire class. Of course, if  $X$  is infinite-dimensional, then there exists an (everywhere) discontinuous linear functional  $f$  on  $X$  (which is linearly continuous), which shows that, in Theorem 3.5, it is not possible to omit the assumption that  $f$  has the Baire property. However, using Lebesgue result (1.1), we obtain that each linearly continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to the first Baire class, which answers [1, Problem 2, p. 12].

The natural question how big can be the set  $D(f)$  of all discontinuity points of a separately (resp. linearly) continuous function were considered in several works, see [1].

A complete characterization of sets  $D(f)$  for separately continuous functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was given in [2] (and independently in [8]), cf. [1]. This characterization, in particular, shows that  $D(f)$  is a first category set, but it can have positive Lebesgue measure (even its complement can be Lebesgue null).

Slobodnik proved in [8] that, for each linearly continuous  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(1.2)  $D(f)$  is contained in a countable union of Lipschitz hypersurfaces,

in particular, the Hausdorff dimension of  $D(f)$  is at most  $(n - 1)$  (and so  $D(f)$  is Lebesgue null). We show that (1.2) holds also in each separable Banach space  $X$  under the additional assumption that  $f$  has the Baire property. Consequently  $D(f)$  is null in any usual sense, in particular it is Aronszajn null and  $\Gamma$ -null.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 26B05; Secondary: 46B99.

*Key words and phrases.* linear continuity, Baire class one, discontinuity sets, Banach space.

The research was supported by the grant GAČR P201/15-08218S.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following, by a Banach space we mean a real Banach space. If  $X$  is a Banach space, we set  $S_X := \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ . The symbol  $B(x, r)$  will denote the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . The oscillation of a function  $f$  at a point  $x$  will be denoted by  $\text{osc}(f, x)$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function. Then we say that  $f$  is *linearly continuous*, if the restriction  $f \upharpoonright_{L \cap G}$  is continuous for each line  $L \subset X$  intersecting  $G$ .

We will essentially use the following well-known characterization of Baire class one functions (see e.g. [5, Theorem 2.12]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a strong Baire metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.  $h$*

- (i)  $f$  is a Baire class one function.
- (ii) For every nonempty closed set  $F \subset X$  and for every real numbers  $\alpha < \beta$ , the sets  $\{z \in F : f(z) \leq \alpha\}$  and  $\{z \in F : f(z) \geq \beta\}$  cannot be dense in  $F$  simultaneously.

Recall that  $X$  is called strong Baire if every closed subspace of  $X$  is a Baire space. Thus each topologically complete metric space (and so each  $G_\delta$  subspace of a complete space) is strong Baire.

We will use the classical Baire terminology concerning his category theory. So complements of first category sets (= meager sets) are called residual (= comeager) sets and sets of the second category are those which are not of the first category. We will need the following well-known fact which follows e.g. from [3, § 10, (7) and (11)] (cf. the text below (11)).

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $M$  is a second category subset of a metric space  $X$ , then there exists an open set  $\emptyset \neq U \subset X$  such that  $M \cap V$  is of the second category for each open  $\emptyset \neq V \subset U$ .*

In a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ , the system of all sets with the Baire property is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets and all first category sets. We will say that a *mapping*  $f : (X, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Y, \rho_2)$  has the *Baire property* if  $f$  is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all sets with the Baire property. In other words,  $f$  has the Baire property, if and only if  $f^{-1}(B)$  has the Baire property for all Borel sets  $B \subset Y$  (see [3, § 32]). We will need the following fact (see e.g. [3, § 32, II]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $Y$  is separable, then  $f$  has the Baire property, if and only there exists a residual set  $R$  in  $X$  such that the restriction  $f \upharpoonright_R$  is continuous.*

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $x \in X$ ,  $v \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Then we define the open cone  $C(x, v, \delta)$  as the set of all  $y \neq x$  for which  $\|v - \frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}\| < \delta$ .

The following easy inequality is well known (see e.g. [6, Lemma 5.1]):

$$(2.1) \quad \text{if } v, w \in X \setminus \{0\}, \text{ then } \left\| \frac{v}{\|v\|} - \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\|v\|} \|v - w\|.$$

We will need the following special case of [7, Lemma 2.4]. It can be proved by the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem (as is noted in [7]), but the proof given in [7] is more direct.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of a Banach space  $X$ . Let  $M \subset U$  be a set residual in  $U$  and  $z \in U$ . Then there exists a line  $L \subset X$  such that  $z$  is a point of accumulation of  $M \cap L$ .*

## 3. BAIRE CLASS ONE

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then for each  $\eta > 0$  there exist  $u \in S_X$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta \text{ whenever } y \in C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, 1/p).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\eta > 0$  be given; we can and will suppose that  $x = 0$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$S_k := \{v \in S_X : |f(x + tv) - f(x)| \leq \eta \text{ for each } 0 < t < 1/k.\}$$

Since  $S_X$  is clearly covered by all sets  $S_k$ , by the Baire theorem (in  $S_X$ ) we can choose  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_p$  is a second category set (in  $S_X$ ). So Lemma 2.2 implies that we can find  $u \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $S_p \cap V$  is of the second category in  $S_X$  whenever  $\emptyset \neq V \subset S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$  is an open subset in  $S_X$ . Set

$$U := C(0, u, \delta) \cap B(0, 1/p) \quad \text{and} \quad M := \{y \in U : |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta\}.$$

Then (3.1) is equivalent to the equality  $M = U$ .

We will first prove that  $M$  is residual in  $U$ . To this end consider the product metric space

$$U^* := (S_X \cap B(u, \delta)) \times (0, 1/p)$$

and the mapping

$$\varphi : U^* \rightarrow U, \quad \varphi((v, t)) := tv.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is clearly a homeomorphism (with  $\varphi^{-1}(z) = (z/\|z\|, \|z\|)$  for  $z \in U$ ). Since  $f$  has the Baire property, we obtain that  $M$  has the Baire property in  $G$  (and consequently also in  $U$ ). Therefore  $M^* := \varphi^{-1}(M)$  has the Baire property in  $U^*$ . Consequently (cf. e.g. [3, § 11, IV, Corollary 2]), to prove that  $M^*$  is residual in  $U^*$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $M^* \cap (V \times G)$  is of the second category in  $U^*$  whenever  $\emptyset \neq V \subset S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$  is an open subset of  $S_X$  and  $\emptyset \neq G \subset (0, 1/p)$  is open. To prove this last statement, observe that the definition of  $S_p$  implies that

$$(S_p \cap V) \times G \subset M^* \cap (V \times G).$$

Further, since  $S_p \cap V$  is of the second category in  $S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$  and  $G$  is of the second category in  $(0, 1/p)$ , we obtain (see e.g. [3, § 22, V, Corollary 1b]) that  $M^* \cap (V \times G)$  is of the second category in  $U^*$ .

Thus we have proved that  $M^*$  is residual in  $U^*$  and consequently  $M$  is residual in  $U$ . Now consider an arbitrary  $z \in U$ . By Lemma 2.4 there exists a line  $L \subset X$  and points  $z_n \in M \cap L \cap U$  with  $z_n \rightarrow z$ . Since the restriction of  $f$  to  $L \cap U$  is continuous, we obtain  $f(z_n) \rightarrow f(z)$ , and consequently  $z \in M$ . So  $M = U$ , which implies (3.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $u \in S_X$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and  $0 < \xi < \delta/2$ . Then, for each  $x, y \in X$  with  $\|x - y\| < \delta\xi/4$ , we have*

- (i)  $z := y + (\xi/2)u \in C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, \delta) \quad \text{and}$
- (ii)  $C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, \delta) \cap C(y, u, \delta) \cap B(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset.$

*Proof.* Since

$$\|z - x\| \leq \|z - y\| + \|y - x\| \leq \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\delta\xi}{4} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} < \delta,$$

we have  $z \in B(x, \delta)$ . Since

$$\|z - x\| \geq \|z - y\| - \|y - x\| \geq \frac{\xi}{2} - \frac{\xi}{4} > 0,$$

we can apply (2.1) to  $v := (\xi/2)u = z - y$  and  $w := z - x \neq 0$ . Because  $\|w - v\| = \|y - x\| < \delta\xi/4$ , the inequality (2.1) gives

$$\left\| u - \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right\| = \left\| \frac{v}{\|v\|} - \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right\| < \frac{2}{\xi/2} \cdot \frac{\delta\xi}{4} = \delta.$$

Consequently  $z \in C(x, u, \delta)$  and so (i) follows.

Since  $z \in C(y, u, \delta) \cap B(y, \delta)$ , (i) implies (ii).  $\square$

The following result is not labeled as a theorem, since it will be generalized to all Banach spaces.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then  $f$  belongs to the first Baire class.*

*Proof.* We can suppose  $\dim X > 1$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not in the first Baire class. Then by Lemma 2.1 there exists a set  $\emptyset \neq F \subset G$  closed in  $G$  and reals  $\alpha < \beta$  such that the both sets

$$A := \{z \in F : f(z) \leq \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{z \in F : f(z) \geq \beta\}$$

are dense in  $F$ . Set  $\eta := (1/7)(\beta - \alpha)$ . Now choose a dense sequence  $(u_n)_1^\infty$  in  $S_X$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$P_n := \{x \in F : |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta \quad \text{whenever} \quad y \in C(x, u_n, 1/n) \cap B(x, 1/n)\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that  $F = \bigcup_1^\infty P_n$ . Indeed, for each  $x \in F$  we can choose  $u \in S_X$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  for which (3.1) holds. Further choose  $n > p$  such that  $1/n < \delta/2$  and  $\|u_n - u\| < \delta/2$ . Then clearly

$$C(x, u_n, 1/n) \cap B(x, 1/n) \subset C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, 1/p)$$

and consequently  $x \in P_n$  by (3.1).

Since  $F$  is closed in  $G$ , the Baire theorem in  $F$  holds and thus there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_k$  is not nowhere dense in  $F$ . Therefore there exist  $c \in F$  and  $0 < r < 1/(32k^2)$  such that  $P_k$  is dense in  $B(c, r) \cap F$ .

Now choose  $y \in A \cap B(c, r)$  and  $y^* \in B \cap B(c, r)$ . Since  $f$  is linearly continuous, we can choose  $0 < \xi < 1/(2k)$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) \leq \alpha + \eta \quad \text{for} \quad z := y + (\xi/2)u_k.$$

Further choose  $x \in P_k \cap B(c, r)$  with  $\|y - x\| < \xi/(4k)$ . Applying Lemma 3.2 (i) with  $u := u_k$  and  $\delta := 1/k$  we obtain that  $z \in C(x, u_k, 1/k) \cap B(x, 1/k)$ , and consequently  $|f(z) - f(x)| \leq \eta$  since  $x \in P_k$ . So (3.2) gives  $f(x) \leq \alpha + 2\eta$ .

Proceeding quite analogously as above (working now with  $y^*$  and  $B$  instead of  $y$  and  $A$ ) we find  $x^* \in P_k \cap B(c, r)$  with  $f(x^*) \geq \beta - 2\eta$ . Since  $0 < r < 1/(32k^2)$ , we have  $\|x - x^*\| < 1/(16k^2)$ . So we can apply Lemma 3.2 (ii) with  $u := u_k$ ,  $\delta := 1/k$ ,  $\xi := 1/(4k)$ ,  $x$  and  $y := x^*$  to find a point

$$b \in C(x, u_k, 1/k) \cap B(x, 1/k) \cap C(x^*, u_k, 1/k) \cap B(x^*, 1/k).$$

Since  $x, x^* \in P_k$ , we have  $|f(b) - f(x)| \leq \eta$ ,  $|f(b) - f(x^*)| \leq \eta$ , and therefore  $\beta - 3\eta \leq f(b) \leq \alpha + 3\eta$ . Consequently  $\beta - \alpha \leq 6\eta$  which contradicts the choice of  $\eta$ .  $\square$

Since each function from  $(n - 1)$ -th Baire class has the Baire property, Lebesgue result (1.1) and Proposition 3.3 give the following main result of the present note which answers [1, Problem 2].

**Theorem 3.4.** *Each linearly continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to the first Baire class.*

Using easy “separable reduction” arguments, we obtain that the assumption of separability of  $X$  in Proposition 3.3 can be deleted.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then  $f$  belongs to the first Baire class.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not in the first Baire class. Then by Lemma 2.1 there exist a set  $\emptyset \neq F \subset G$  closed in  $G$  and reals  $\alpha < \beta$  such that the both sets

$$A := \{z \in F : f(z) \leq \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{z \in F : f(z) \geq \beta\}$$

are dense in  $F$ .

Now we will define inductively a nondecreasing sequence  $(M_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of countable subsets of  $F$ . We set  $M_1 := \{a\}$ , where  $a \in F$  is an arbitrarily chosen point. If  $n > 1$  and a countable set  $M_{n-1}$  is defined, we choose for each point  $\mu \in M_{n-1}$  sequences  $(a_k^\mu)_{k=1}^\infty$ ,  $(b_k^\mu)_{k=1}^\infty$  converging to  $\mu$  with  $a_k^\mu \in A$  and  $b_k^\mu \in B$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we set

$$M_n := M_{n-1} \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in M_{n-1}} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{a_k^\mu, b_k^\mu\}.$$

Setting

$$\tilde{F} := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n} \cap G,$$

we easily see that  $\tilde{F}$  is a separable subset of  $F$  which is closed in  $F$  and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{both } A \cap \tilde{F} \text{ and } B \cap \tilde{F} \text{ are dense in } \tilde{F}.$$

Denote by  $X_1$  the closure of the linear span of  $\tilde{F}$ . Then  $X_1$  is a closed separable subspace of  $X$ . By Lemma 2.3 there exists a residual set  $R$  in  $G$  such that the restriction  $f \upharpoonright_R$  is continuous. [11, Lemma 4.6] implies that there exists a separable closed subspace  $X_2$  of  $X$  such that  $X_2 \supset X_1$  and  $R \cap X_2$  is residual in  $X_2$ . Consequently the function  $g := f \upharpoonright_{X_2 \cap G}$  has the Baire property. Since  $g$  is linearly continuous on  $X_2 \cap G$ , Proposition 3.3 implies that  $g$  is in the first Baire class. But this contradicts Lemma 2.1, since  $X_2 \cap G$  is a strong Baire space (even a topologically complete space),  $\tilde{F}$  is closed in  $X_2 \cap G$  and (3.3) holds.  $\square$

#### 4. SET OF DISCONTINUITY POINTS

In this short section we will show that Lemma 3.1 easily implies a Slobodnik’s result of [8] (Corollary 4.3 below) and its analogues in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. First we recall some definitions and facts.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We say that  $A \subset X$  is a Lipschitz hypersurface if there exists a 1-dimensional linear space  $F \subset X$ , its topological complement  $E$  and a Lipschitz mapping  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  such that  $A = \{x + \varphi(x) : x \in E\}$ .

Recall (see [10, 4C]) that if  $X$  is separable, then each  $M \subset X$  which can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces (note that such sets are sometimes called

“sparse”, see [10]) is not only a first category set but is also Aronszajn ( $\equiv$  Gauss) null and  $\Gamma$ -null (in Lindenstrauss-Preiss sense).

A natural generalization of “sparse sets” to arbitrary (nonseparable) spaces are  $\sigma$ -cone supported sets. Their definition (see e.g. [10, Definition 4.4]) works with cones defined by a slightly different way than the cones  $C(x, v, \delta)$  in Preliminaries; namely with cones  $A(v, c) := \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot B(v, c)$ , where  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $0 < c < 1$ . However, for such  $v$  and  $c$ , obviously  $C(0, v, c) \subset A(v, c)$  and (2.1) easily implies  $A(v, c/2) \subset C(0, v, c)$ . Consequently [10, Definition 4.4] can be equivalently rewritten as follows:

We say that a subset  $M$  of a Banach space  $X$  is *cone supported* if for each  $x \in M$  there exist  $v \in S_X$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $M \cap C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r) = \emptyset$ . A set is called  *$\sigma$ -cone supported* if it is a countable union of cone supported sets.

Recall that [9, Lemma 1] easily implies that if  $X$  is separable, then

(4.1)  $M \subset X$  is  $\sigma$  – cone supported if and only if

it can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then the set  $D(f)$  of all discontinuity points of  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -cone supported.*

*Proof.* Denote  $D_n := \{x \in G : \text{osc}(f, x) \geq 1/n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $D(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ , it is sufficient to prove that each  $D_n$  is a cone supported set. To this end fix an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider an arbitrary point  $x \in D_n$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exist  $v \in S_X$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3n} \quad \text{whenever} \quad y \in C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r).$$

Consequently the oscillation of  $f$  on the open set  $C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r)$  is at most  $2/(3n)$  and therefore  $D_n \cap C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r) = \emptyset$ . So we have proved that  $D_n$  is cone supported.  $\square$

Using (4.1), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$  an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then the set  $D(f)$  of all discontinuity points of  $f$  can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces. In particular,  $D(f)$  is a first category set which is Aronszajn null and also  $\Gamma$ -null.*

We obtain also the following result which was proved by S.G. Slobodnik in [8] by an essentially different way.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linearly continuous function. Then the set  $D(f)$  of all discontinuity points of  $f$  can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.*

*Proof.* If  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is sufficient to use Theorem 4.1 together with (1.1). If  $G$  is an open interval we can use instead of (1.1) its generalization [3, § 31, V, Theorem 2]. Using this special case, we easily obtain the general one, if we write  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$ , where  $I_n$  are open intervals.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K.C. Ciesielski, D. Miller, *A continuous tale on continuous and separately continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **41** (2016), no. 1, 19–54.

- [2] R. Kershner, *The continuity of functions of many variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1943), 83–100.
- [3] K. Kuratowski, *Topology, vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] H. Lebesgue, *Sur les fonctions représentable analytiquement*, J. Math. Pure Appl. (6), 1 (1905), 139–212.
- [5] J. Lukeš, J. Malý, L. Zajíček, *Fine topology methods in real analysis and potential theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1189, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [6] J.L. Massera, J.J. Schäffer, *Linear differential equations and functional analysis, I*, Ann. of Math. (2) 67 (1958), 517–573.
- [7] S.A. Shkarin, *Points of discontinuity of Gâteaux-differentiable mappings*, (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 33 (1992), 176–185; English translation: Sib. J. Math. 33 (1992), 905–913.
- [8] S.G. Slobodnik, *Expanding system of linearly closed sets*, (Russian), Mat. Zametki 19 (1976), 67–84; English translation: Math. Notes 19 (1976), 39–48.
- [9] L. Zajíček, *On the points of multivaluedness of metric projections in separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 19 (1978), 513–523.
- [10] L. Zajíček, *On  $\sigma$ -porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2005 (2005), 509–534.
- [11] L. Zajíček, *Generic Fréchet differentiability on Asplund spaces via a.e. strict differentiability on many lines*, J. Convex Anal. 19 (2012), 23–48.

*E-mail address:* zajicek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8-KARLÍN, CZECH REPUBLIC