# COMMUTATIVE PARASEMIFIELDS FINITELY GENERATED AS SEMIRINGS

Vítězslav Kala and Tomáš Kepka

ABSTRACT. Commutative parasemifields that are finitely generated as semirings are studied in more detail.

This short article continues immediately [2] and [3] and the reader is fully referred to the cited papers as concerns all necessary and/or helpful prerequisities.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

By a parasemifield we mean a non-trivial algebraic structure with two commutative and associative binary operations, addition and multiplication, where the multiplication forms an (abelian) group and distributes over the addition. Familiar examples of such a structure are the parasemifields of positive rational or real numbers. Both these parasemifields are congruence-simple and they are not finitely generated as semirings. In fact, according to [1, 14.3], every congruence-simple finitely generated commutative semiring is either finite or additively idempotent. A corresponding result for ideal-simple finitely generated commutative semirings seems to be an open problem. According to [2, 5.1], it is sufficient to solve the problem only for parasemifields. Since every parasemifield is infinite, it would mean that a parasemifield is additively idempotent, provided that it is a finitely generated semiring.

## 2. Parasemifields and subsemigroups of $\mathbb{N}_0^m$

In the paper, let S be a commutative parasemifield that is not additively idempotent (i.e.,  $1_S \neq 1_S + 1_S = 2_S$ ).

First, observe that the prime subparasemifield T of S (i.e., the subparasemifield generated by the unit  $1_S$ ) is a copy of the parasemifield  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  of positive rationals. It is quite easy to show that  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is a congruence-simple semiring (i.e.,  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^+}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \times \mathbb{Q}^+$ are the only semiring congruences of  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ ) and that  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is not a finitely generated

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y60.

Key words and phrases. semiring, parasemifield, finitely generated.

Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic

This work is a part of the research project MSM00210839 financed by MSMT. While working on this paper, the authors were supported by the Grant Agency of Charles University, grant # 8648/2008. The second author was supported by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic, grant # 201/09/0296.

semiring. Consequently, if  $\rho$  is a congruence of S, then either  $\rho \upharpoonright T = \mathrm{id}_T$  or T is contained in a block of  $\rho$  and the factor-semiring  $S/\rho$  is additively idempotent.

For every  $u \in S$ , the set  $I_u = (S + u) \cup \{u\}$  is the principal ideal of the additive semigroup S(+) generated by the element u. We denote by Q the set of the elements  $u \in S$  such that  $I_u \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . Furthemore, we put  $R = (S + T) \cup T$  and  $P = Q \cap R$ ; notice that R is the ideal of S(+) generated by T.

**2.1 Proposition.** ([3]) (i) Both Q and R are subsemirings of S.

(*ii*)  $R = Q^{-1} = \{u^{-1} | u \in Q\}.$ 

(*iii*)  $S = QR = \{uv | u \in Q, v \in R\}.$ 

 $(iv) T \subseteq P = Q + T = Q \cap R.$ 

(v) P is an additively archimedean and cancellative parasemifield.

(vi) Neither Q nor P is a finitely generated semiring,

(vii) If  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in S$ ,  $n \ge 1$  are such that  $u_1 + \cdots + u_n \in Q$ , then  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in Q$ . (viii) If  $u \in S$  and  $n \ge 1$  are such that  $u^n \in Q$  (R, P, resp.), then  $u \in Q$  (R, P, resp.).

(ix) If  $u, v, w \in Q$  are such that u + v = u + w, then v + t = w + t for every  $t \in T$ .

*Proof.* See [3, 4.3], [3, 4.8], [3, 3.11], [3, 4.10], [3, 4.18], [3, 4.4], [3, 4.6], and [3, 4.15].  $\Box$ 

In the remaining part of the paper, assume that S is finitely generated as a semiring. Let  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}, m \ge 1$ , be a finite set of generators of S.

**2.2 Lemma.** (i)  $Q \neq S \neq R$ . (ii)  $Q \neq P \neq R$ .

*Proof.* Combine 2.1(vi) and [3, 4.9].

Put  $A = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in Q\}, A' = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in R\}$ , and  $B = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in P\}$  ( $\mathbb{N}_0$  denotes the semiring of non-negative integers).

**2.3 Proposition.** (i)  $0 \in A, 0 \neq A \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and A is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$  (i.e.,  $nA = A \cap n\mathbb{N}_0^m$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ).

(ii) A is not a finitely generated semigroup.

*Proof.* (i) Clearly,  $z_1^0 \cdots z_m^0 = 1_S \in T \subseteq Q$ , and so  $0 \in A$ . Since  $Q(\cdot)$  is a subsemigroup of the multiplicative group  $S(\cdot)$ , we see that A is a subsemigroup of the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)(=\mathbb{N}_0(+)^m)$ . From 2.1(viii) follows that A is a pure subsemigroup.

(ii) See [3, 4.19(iii)].

**2.4 Lemma.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $a_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,m}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m, 1 \le i \le k$ .

(i) If  $\sum_{i} z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}} \in Q$ , then  $a_i \in A$  for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

(ii) If  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  (the semifield of non-negative rationals) are such that  $a = \sum_i q_i a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$  and if  $a_i \in A$  for every *i*, then  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* (i) The assertion follows easily from 2.1(vii).

(ii) We have  $q_i = r_i/s_i$  for suitable  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $s_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $s = s_1 \cdots s_k$  then  $sq_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, b_i = sq_ia_i \in A$  and  $sa = \sum b_i \in A \cap s\mathbb{N}_0^m = sA$ . Thus  $a \in A$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.5 Proposition.**  $0 \in A', A' \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and A' is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of 2.3(i).  $\Box$ 

**2.6 Proposition.** (i)  $0 \in B$  and B is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ . (ii)  $B = A \cap A'$ . (iii)  $B \neq A$ .

*Proof.* (i) Similar to 2.3(i).

(ii) We have  $P = Q \cap R$ .

(iii) If B = A then  $A \subseteq A'$ , and hence R = S, a contradiction with 2.2(i).  $\Box$ 

**2.7 Lemma.** Let  $b \in A$ . Then  $b \in B$  if and only if  $a - b \in A$  for every  $a \in A$  such that  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b = (k_1, \ldots, k_m)$  and  $u = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in Q$ . If  $b \in B$  then  $u \in P$ ,  $u^{-1} \in Q$  and  $z_1^{l_1-k_1} \cdots z_m^{l_m-k_m} = u^{-1}v \in Q$ , where  $a = (l_1, \ldots, l_m) \in A$  and  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ . Consequently,  $a - b \in A$ .

Now we are going to show the converse implication. We have  $u^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}}$ for some  $k \geq 1$  and  $a_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,m}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $1_S = uu^{-1} = \sum_i z_1^{k_1+k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_m+k_{i,m}} \in Q$ , and it follows from 2.4(i) that  $b + a_i \in A$  for every *i*. On the other hand,  $a_i = (b + a_i) - a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and we get that  $a_i \in A$ . Thus  $z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}} \in Q$  for every *i* and, finally,  $u^{-1} \in Q$ . Thus  $u \in P$  and  $b \in B$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.8 Lemma.** Let  $a \in A'$  and  $b \in B$  be such that  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ . Then  $a - b \in A'$ .

*Proof.* See the first part of the proof of 2.7.  $\Box$ 

**2.9 Lemma.** Let  $a \in A$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , be such that  $a + a_i \in A$  for every  $i, 1 \le i \le k$ . Assume that there exist positive integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$  such that  $(n_i - 1)a + n_i a_i \in A$  for every i. Then:

(i)  $(n-1)a + na_i \in A$  for all i and positive integers  $n \ge \max(n_i)$ .

(*ii*)  $(n-1)a + \sum r_i a_i \in A$  for all  $n \ge \max(n_i)$  and  $r_1, \ldots, r_k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \sum r_i = n$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have  $n = n_i + l_i$  for some  $l_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $(n-1)a + na_i = (n_i - 1)a + n_i a_i + l_i(a + a_i) \in A$ .

(ii) We have  $(n-1)a + \sum r_i a_i = \sum (r_i/n)((n-1)a + na_i) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ . It remains to combine (i) and 2.4(ii).  $\Box$ 

**2.10 Lemma.** Let  $a = (k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in A$  and  $u = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in Q$ . Let  $a_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,m}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ , be such that  $u^{-1} = \sum v_i$ , where  $v_i = z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}} \in S$ . Then:

(i)  $a + a_i \in A$  for every *i*.

(ii)  $a \in B$  and  $u \in P$ , provided that there exist positive integers  $n_i$  such that  $(n_i - 1)a + n_i a_i \in A$  for every *i*.

*Proof.* (i) Easy (see the second part of the proof of 2.7).

(ii) Put  $n = \sum n_i$ . Then  $u^{-n} = (\sum v_i)^n = \sum_r t_r \prod_{i=1}^k v_i^{r_i}$ ,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ ,  $\sum r_i = n, t_r \in \mathbb{N}, u^{n-1} = z_1^{(n-1)k_1} \cdots z_m^{(n-1)k_m}$  and  $u^{-1} = u^{n-1}u^{-n}$ . On the other hand,  $u^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k v_i^{r_i} = z_1^{s_1} \cdots z_m^{s_m}$ , where  $s_j = (n-1)k_j + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i k_{i,j}$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $(n-1)a + \sum_i r_i a_i \in A$  by 2.9(ii), we have  $u^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k v_i^{r_i} \in Q$  and consequently,  $u^{-1} = \sum_r t_r (u^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k v_i^{r_i}) \in Q$ . Thus  $u \in P$  and  $a \in B$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.11 Remark.** Consider the situation from 2.10. If  $a \in A \setminus B$  (i.e.,  $u \notin P$ ), then there exists  $i_0, 1 \leq i_0 \leq k$ , such that  $(n-1)a + na_{i_0} \notin A$  for every positive integer n. In particular,  $a_{i_0} \neq 0$ . Now, if  $a_{i_0} = qa$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , then  $q = r/s, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we get  $(s-1)a + sa_{i_0} = (s-1)a + ra = (s+r-1)a \in A$ , a contradiction. Thus  $a_{i_0} \not\in \mathbb{Q}_0^+ a.$ 

**2.12 Lemma.** Let  $a, a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A, k \geq 1, b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m, r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ be such that  $rb - sa = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i a_i$ . Then  $(n-1)a + nb \in A$  for a positive integer n (and hence  $a + b \in A$ ).

*Proof.* There are positive integers n, l, t such that r = n/t and s = l/t. Now,  $nb - la = \sum_{i} q_i a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and  $nb - la \in A$  by 2.4(ii). But (n-1)a + nb = (nb - la) + (nb - la) + nb = (nb - la) + ( $(n+l-1)a \in A.$ 

**2.13 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ : (i)  $(n-1)a + nb \in A$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) There are  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+, k \in \mathbb{N}, a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$  such that  $r(a+b) - sa = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i a_i.$ Moreover, if these equivalent conditions are satisfied, then  $a+b \in A$ .

*Proof.* If (i) is true, then  $(n-1)a + nb = a_1 \in A$  and  $n(a+b) - a = a_1$ , so we can put  $r = n, s = 1 = k, q_1 = 1$ . Moreover,  $n(a + b) = a_1 + a \in A$  and  $a + b \in A$  since A is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ .

Now, assume that (ii) is satisfied. We have  $r = k_1/t$  and  $s = k_2/t$  for suitable  $k_1, k_2, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $c = (k_1 - k_2)a + k_2b = t(r(a+b) - sa) = \sum_i tq_i a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m \cap (\mathbb{Q}_0^+)^m = t(r(a+b) - sa)$  $\mathbb{N}_0^m$  and  $c \in A$  by 2.4(ii). Consequently,  $(k_1 - 1)a + k_1b = c + (k_2 - 1)a \in A$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.14 Lemma.** Let  $a \in A$  be such that for every  $b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$  with  $a + b \in A$  there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A, k \ge 1, r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}^+_0$  with  $r(a+b) - sa = \sum_i q_i a_i$ . Then  $a \in B$ .

*Proof.* Combine 2.13 and 2.10.  $\Box$ 

**2.15 Corollary.** (cf. 2.11) Let  $a \in A \setminus B$  (see 2.6(iii)). Then there exists  $b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ such that  $a+b \in A$  and  $r(a+b)-sa \neq \sum q_i a_i$  for all  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A, k \ge 1, r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ . In particular,  $a + b \notin \mathbb{Q}a$  and  $b \notin \mathbb{Q}a$ .

**2.16 Remark.** Let  $\sigma$  be a congruence of S maximal with respect to  $(1_S, 2_S) \notin \sigma$ , Then  $S/\sigma$  is a parasemifield that is not additively idempotent.

As in [3], define a relation  $\mu_S$  on S by  $(a,b) \in \mu_S$  if and only if b = a + z for some  $z \in S \cup \{0\}$  and define a relation  $\eta_S$  on S by  $(a, b) \in \eta_S$  if and only if there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(a, mb) \in \mu_S$  and  $(b, na) \in \mu_S$ . Then  $\eta_S$  is the smallest congruence of S such that the corresponding factor is additively idempotent (see |3, 1.5|).

Hence,  $\eta_S \subseteq \sigma_1$ , whenever  $\sigma_1$  is a congruence of S such that  $\sigma \subsetneq \sigma_1$ . In particular, the factor-semiring  $S/\sigma$  is subdirectly irreducible.

## 3. Mapping to $\mathbb{R}$

The preceding section is immediately continued. Since S is a non-trivial finitely generated semiring, S possesses at least one (proper) maximal congruence  $\rho$ . Combining [1, 14.3], [1, 10.1], [1, 5.3], we conclude that there exists a mapping  $\varphi: S \to \mathbb{R}$ (the field of real numbers) such that  $\ker(\varphi) = \rho, \varphi(u+v) = \min(\varphi(u), \varphi(v))$  and  $\varphi(uv) = \varphi(u) + \varphi(v)$  for all  $u, v \in S$ . Then  $\varphi(1_S) = 0$  and  $\varphi(S)(+)$  is a non-zero finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}(+)$ . In fact, if the semiring S is generated by  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}, m \geq 1$ , then the semigroup  $\varphi(S)(+)$  is generated by the real numbers  $\varphi(z_1), \ldots, \varphi(z_m)$ .

Put  $V = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(S) \cap \mathbb{R}_0^+), U = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(S) \cap \mathbb{R}_0^-)$  and  $W = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ .

**3.1 Proposition.** (i) V and U are subsemirings of S.

(ii) W is a subparasemifield of S. (iii)  $U = V^{-1}$ . (iv) S + U = U and W + V = W. (v)  $V \cup U = S$  and  $V \cap U = W$ . (vi)  $V \neq S \neq U$ . (vii)  $V \neq W \neq U$ . (viii)  $Q \subseteq V, R \subseteq U$  and  $P \subseteq W$ .

*Proof.* The first seven assertions follow easily from the properties of the mapping  $\varphi$ . It remains to show the last one.

First,  $T \subseteq W = V \cap U$ , since T is the prime subparasemifield of S. If  $v \in Q \setminus T$ , then  $v + w \in T$  for some  $w \in S$  and we have  $0 = \varphi(v + w) = \min(\varphi(v), \varphi(w))$ . Consequently,  $\varphi(v) \ge 0$  and  $v \in V$ . This means that  $Q = (Q \setminus T) \cup T \subseteq V$ . If  $u \in R$ , then  $u^{-1} \in V$ , and so  $u \in U$  by (iii).  $\Box$ 

**3.2 Lemma.** Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in S, n \ge 1$ , and  $u = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ . (i) If  $u \in V$ , then  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in V$ . (ii) If  $u \in U$ , then  $u_i \in U$  for at least one *i*. (iii) If  $u \in W$ , then  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in V$  and  $u_i \in W$  for at least one *i*.

*Proof.* It is easy.  $\Box$ 

**3.3 Lemma.** If  $u \in S$  and  $n \ge 1$  are such that  $u^n \in V$  (U, W, resp.), then  $u \in V$  (U, W, resp.).

*Proof.* It is easy.  $\Box$ 

**3.4 Lemma.** Both  $V' = V \setminus W$  and  $U' = U \setminus W$  are subsemirings of S.

*Proof.* It is easy.  $\Box$ 

**3.5 Lemma.**  $\varphi(S) = \varphi(Q) - \varphi(Q)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\varphi(S) = \varphi(QR) = \varphi(QQ^{-1}) = \varphi(Q) + \varphi(Q^{-1}) = \varphi(Q) - \varphi(Q)$ .  $\Box$ 

Put  $\overline{A} = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in V\}, \widetilde{A} = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in U\}, \text{ and } \overline{B} = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m | z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m} \in W\}.$ 

**3.6 Proposition.** (i)  $0 \in \overline{A}, 0 \neq \overline{A} \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and  $\overline{A}$  is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ . (ii)  $A \subseteq \overline{A} \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

(iii) If  $\overline{A}$  is a finitely generated semigroup, then V is a finitely generated semiring.

Proof. (i) An easy consequence of the definition of  $\overline{A}$ . (ii)  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ , since  $Q \subseteq V$ , and  $\overline{A} \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$  since  $V \neq S$ . (iii) Use 3.2(i).  $\Box$  **3.7 Lemma.** Let  $k \geq 1, a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \overline{A}$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$  be such that  $a = \sum_i q_i a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ . Then  $a \in \overline{A}$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of 2.4(ii).

**3.8 Proposition.** (i)  $0 \in \widetilde{A}, 0 \neq \widetilde{A} \neq \mathbb{N}_0^m$  and  $\widetilde{A}$  is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ . (ii)  $\overline{A} \cup \widetilde{A} = \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

*Proof.* It is easy (use 3.1(v)).  $\Box$ 

**3.9 Lemma.** Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $a_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,m}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , be such that  $\sum_i z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}} \in V$  (U, resp.). Then  $a_i \in \overline{A}$  for every i ( $a_j \in \widetilde{A}$  for at least one j, resp.).

*Proof.* It is easy.  $\Box$ 

**3.10 Proposition.** (i)  $0 \in \overline{B}$  and  $\overline{B}$  is a non-zero pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ . (ii)  $\overline{B} = \overline{A} \cap \widetilde{A}$ . (iii)  $\overline{A} \neq \overline{B} \neq \widetilde{A}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Clearly,  $0 \in \overline{B}$  and  $\overline{B}$  is a pure subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}_0^m(+)$ . Since the semiring S is generated by the set  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$  there are  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k, a_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,m})$ , such that  $1_S = \sum_i z_1^{k_{i,1}} \cdots z_m^{k_{i,m}}$ . By 3.2(iii),  $a_i \in \overline{B}$  for at least one i. Thus  $\overline{B} \neq 0$ .

(ii) We have  $W = V \cap U$  by 3.1(v).

(iii) If  $\overline{B} = \overline{A}$ , then  $\overline{A} \subseteq \widetilde{A}$ , and hence  $V \subseteq U$  and U = S, a contradiction. If  $\overline{B} = \widetilde{A}$ , then  $\widetilde{A} \subseteq \overline{A}$ , and hence  $\overline{A} = \mathbb{N}_0^m$ , again a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**3.11 Lemma.** (i) Let  $b \in \overline{A}$ . Then  $b \in \overline{B}$  if and only if  $a - b \in \overline{A}$  for every  $a \in \overline{A}$  such that  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

(ii) Let  $b \in \overline{B}$ . Then  $a - b \in \widetilde{A}$  for every  $a \in \widetilde{A}$  such that  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of 2.7.  $\Box$ 

**3.12 Remark.** By 3.1(iv), we have W + V = W. We are going to show that  $w + V \neq W$  for every  $w \in W$ .

Assume, on the contrary, that  $w_1 + V = W$  for some  $w_1 \in W$ . Then  $w_1^{-1} \in W$ , and hence  $1_S + V = 1_S + w_1^{-1}V = w_1^{-1}(w_1 + V) = w_1^{-1}W = W$ . Furthemore,  $w + V = w + wV = w(1_S + V) = wW = W$  for every  $w \in W$ . In particular,  $w + 2_S + V = W$ , and then  $w + 1_S + V + 2_S = W + 1_S$ . But  $V + 2_S = V + 1_S + 1_S \subseteq$  $W + 1_S$  and we see that  $w + 1_S + W + 1_S = W + 1_S$ . Now, it is clear that  $W + 1_S$ is a subgroup of S(+). If z is the neutral element of the subgroup, then 2z = z, and hence  $2_S = 1_S$ , a contradiction.

#### References

[1] R. El Bashir, J. Hurt, A. Jančařík and T. Kepka, *Simple commutative semirings*, J. Algebra **236** (2001), 277-306.

[2] V. Kala and T. Kepka, A note on finitely generated ideal-simple commutative semirings, Comm. Math. Univ. Carol., **49** (2008), 1-9.

[3] V. Kala, T. Kepka and M. Korbelář, Notes on commutative parasemifields (preprint).