

In the sequel L is an AFT over K given by
 $f(x, y) = 0$ for x, y transcendental over K

Observation: Let $w: K[x, y] \rightarrow K[\alpha, \beta]$ be defined
 by $w(m) = m(\alpha, \beta)$ and $P \neq 0$ be a prime ideal of $K[\alpha, \beta]$

(1) w is a surjective ring homomorphism and $\text{Ker } w = (f)$

(2) $(f) \subseteq w^{-1}(P)$ is a prime ideal of $K[x, y]$

$\Rightarrow \exists \mathfrak{p} \in V_f$ such that $P = w(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$

(3) $\hat{K} := K[\alpha, \beta]/P$ is an algebraic extension of K

since P is maximal, $\hat{K} = K[\alpha+P, \beta+P] \Rightarrow [\hat{K}:K] < \infty$.

(4) $[\hat{K}:K] = 1 \iff K[x, y]/I_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong K \iff \mathfrak{p} \in V_f(K)$.

Lemma 8.15 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}_{U/K}$, $\tilde{P} := P \cap K[\alpha, \beta]$.

- (1) if $K[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow \tilde{P}$ is a maximal ideal of $K[\alpha, \beta]$,
 $\dim_K K[\alpha, \beta]/\tilde{P} < \infty, v_P(\alpha) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0$
- (2) if $K[\alpha, \beta] \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow \tilde{P} = 0$ and either $v_P(\alpha) < 0$ or $v_P(\beta) < 0$.
- (3) if $K[\alpha, \beta] \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$ & f is a WEP, then $v_P(\alpha) < 0$ and $v_P(\beta) < 0$,
 and $\exists v_P(\alpha) = 2v_P(\beta)$.

Proof: (1) $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow v_P(\alpha) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0$

?? $\tilde{P} = 0 \Rightarrow K[\alpha, \beta] - \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P, P = \mathcal{O}_P^* \Rightarrow K[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \subsetneq K(K/\mathbb{Q})$

thus $\tilde{P} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{P}$ is maximal & $\dim_K (K[\alpha, \beta]/\tilde{P}) < \infty$ a contradiction by Observ. (3)

(2) ?? $\tilde{P} \neq 0, a \in K[\alpha, \beta] \setminus \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow v_P(a) < 0$

$v_P(m(a)) \geq 0$

by Observ. (3) $a \in \tilde{P}$ is algebraic over $K \Rightarrow \exists m \in K[x] \setminus K : \overline{m(a)} \in \tilde{P} \subseteq P$

$0 \leq v_P(m(a)) = \frac{\deg m}{2.17(3)} \cdot \underbrace{v_P(a)}_{< 0} < 0, \Rightarrow$ a contradiction $\Rightarrow \tilde{P} = 0$

$v_P(\alpha) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow K[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$

(3) Let $f = y^2 + yg(x) - h(x)$ where $g, h \in k[x]$, $\deg g \leq 1$, $\deg h = 3$
 $f(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta(\beta + g(\alpha)) = h(\alpha)$ Put $a := h(\alpha)$, $b := \beta(\beta + g(\alpha))$
 and $V_L = V_P$

$\Rightarrow v(a) = v(b) = v(\beta) + v(\beta + g(\alpha))$, we know that either $v(\alpha) < 0$ or $v(\beta) < 0$

(a) Assume ?? $v(\alpha) < 0 \leq v(\beta)$ Then by comparing rules 2.13, 2.17 & (DVI)-(DVS)

$$\exists v(\alpha) \stackrel{2.17}{\leq} v(a) = v(b) = \underbrace{v(\beta)}_{> v(\alpha)} + \underbrace{v(\beta + g(\alpha))}_{> v(\alpha)} > 2v(\alpha) \Rightarrow v(\alpha) > 0 \quad \text{--- a contradiction?}$$

(b) Assume ?? $v(\alpha) \geq 0 > v(\beta)$ Then

$$0 \leq v(a) = v(b) = \underbrace{v(\beta)}_{< 0} + \underbrace{v(\beta + g(\alpha))}_{> v(\beta)} \stackrel{2.13}{=} 2v(\beta) < 0 \Rightarrow \text{a contradiction}$$

Hence (a) & (b) $\Rightarrow v(\alpha) < 0$ & $v(\beta) < 0$

(c) Assume ?? $v(\alpha) \leq v(\beta) \Rightarrow \overset{\text{case (a)}}{3} v(\alpha) = v(a) = v(\beta) + v(\beta + g(\alpha)) \geq 2v(\alpha) \Rightarrow v(\alpha) \geq 0$ --- a contradiction

(d) $\Rightarrow v(\alpha) > v(\beta) \Rightarrow \underline{3v(\alpha) = v(a) = v(b) = 2v(\beta)}$

Proposition 5.16 Let $P \in P_{L/K}$, $\deg P = 1$ and f be smooth at every $\mathfrak{f} \in V_f(K)$. Then the following is equivalent.

$$(1) K[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

$$(2) \exists! \mathfrak{f} \in V_f(K) \text{ such that } v_{\mathfrak{f}}(\alpha - \gamma_1) > 0, v_{\mathfrak{f}}(\beta - \gamma_2) > 0.$$

$$(3) \exists! \mathfrak{f} \in V_f(K) \text{ such that } P = P_{\mathfrak{f}}.$$

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) $\exists \mathfrak{f} \in V_f$: $\tilde{P} = P \cap K[\alpha, \beta] = \omega(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}})$ by S.15
(3) from above $0 \neq K[\alpha, \beta]/\tilde{P} \cong (K[\alpha, \beta] + P)/P$ is a subspace of K -space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}/P$ by Obs. (2)

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \dim_K(K[\alpha, \beta]/\tilde{P}) \leq \dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}/P = \deg P = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_K(K[\alpha, \beta]/\tilde{P}) = 1 \xrightarrow{\text{Obs. (4)}} \mathfrak{f} \in V_f(K)$$

Unicity: if $\alpha - \gamma_1, \alpha - \tilde{\gamma}_1, \beta - \gamma_2, \beta - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in P \Rightarrow \gamma_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_1, \gamma_2 - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in P \cap K$
 $\neq 0$

$$(2) \Rightarrow (3) \text{ by S.13}$$

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1) \left. \begin{array}{l} \alpha - \gamma_1, \beta - \gamma_2 \in P_{\mathfrak{f}} = P \\ \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta \in K + P_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}} \Rightarrow (1)$$

Corollary 5.17 If f is ^{a WEP} smooth^{at} at all points of $V_P(k)$

and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{1/k}$ is of degree 1, then either $\exists x \in V_P(k)$

for which $P = P_x$ or $V_P(\alpha) < 0$ and $V_P(\beta) < 0$ (i.e. $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_P$)

proof: by 5.16 & 5.15(3).

Observation Let \tilde{k} be the field of constants of L
(i.e. $\tilde{k} = \{a \in L \mid a \text{ is algebraic over } k\}$)

(1) if $\alpha \in L \setminus \tilde{k} \Rightarrow \exists P \in \mathbb{P}_{1/k} : V_P(\alpha) > 0$ by 2.5

(2) $\tilde{k} = \{a \in L \mid V_P(a) = 0 \forall P \in \mathbb{P}_{1/k}\}$ where " \geq " follows
from (1) and " \leq " holds by 2.15(1)

(3) if $a, b \in L, P \in \mathbb{P}_{1/k}, V_P(a) \neq 0 \neq V_P(b)$, then
by 2.13 $V_P(a + b^{\mathfrak{z}}) = \min(V_P(a), \mathfrak{z} \cdot V_P(b))$ for all
 \mathfrak{z} except at most one
 $\Rightarrow \exists \mathfrak{z}_0 \forall \mathfrak{z} \geq \mathfrak{z}_0 \quad V_P(a + b^{\mathfrak{z}}) = \min(V_P(a), \mathfrak{z} \cdot V_P(b))$

Lemma 5.18 Let $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}$ be pairwise distinct,

$m \geq 1$, $V_i := v_{P_i}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in L$ and $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then:

(1) $\exists \Delta \in L^* : v_i(\Delta) > 0$ and $v_i(\Delta) < 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m$

(2) $\exists \Delta \in L : v_i(\Delta - a_i) > \Delta \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

proof: will be proved next week. (2) is technical result needed for the following theorem, (2) follows from (1).

Theorem 5.19 (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem)

Let $m \geq 1$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}$ be pairwise distinct. If $a_1, \dots, a_m \in L$ and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

then $\exists \Delta \in L$ such that $v_{P_i}(\Delta - a_i) \geq \Delta_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

proof: must $V_i := v_{P_i}$, $\Delta := \max \{ \Delta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m \}$

Fix $b_i \in L$ such that $V_i(b_i) = R_i \quad \forall i=1, \dots, m$
 $(\in P_i^{R_i} - P_i^{R_i+1} \neq \emptyset)$

By 5.18(2) $\exists \Delta \in L$ such that

$$V_i(\Delta - b_i) > \Delta \geq R_i \quad \forall i$$

Again by 5.18(2) $\exists \Lambda \in L$:

$$V_i(\Lambda - (\Delta + a_i)) > \Lambda \geq R_i \quad \forall i$$

Then: $\Lambda - a_i = \underbrace{(\Lambda - (\Delta + a_i))}_{> R_i} + \underbrace{(\Delta - b_i)}_{> R_i} + \underbrace{b_i}_{= R_i}$

compute V_i :

213
 $\Rightarrow V_i(\Lambda - a_i) = V_i(b_i) = R_i.$