Structural decompositions in module theory and their constraints

9th International Algebraic Conference in Ukraine

Lviv, July 8, 2013

Jan Trlifaj (Univerzita Karlova, Praha)

Overview

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes**

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.

- **Part II: Deconstructible classes**

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes** (the ubiquitous mainstream)

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.

- **Part II: Deconstructible classes** (the ubiquitous mainstream)
  1. Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
  2. Deconstructibility and approximations.

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes** (the ubiquitous mainstream)
  1. Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
  2. Deconstructibility and approximations.
- **Part III: Non-deconstructibility**

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
    1. Classic decomposition theorems.
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes** (the ubiquitous mainstream)
    1. Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
    2. Deconstructibility and approximations.
- **Part III: Non-deconstructibility** (reaching the limits)

Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes** (the rare jewels)
  1. Classic decomposition theorems.
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes** (the ubiquitous mainstream)
  1. Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
  2. Deconstructibility and approximations.
- **Part III: Non-deconstructibility** (reaching the limits)
  1. The basic example: Mittag-Leffler modules.
  2. Trees and locally free modules.
  3. Non-deconstructibility and infinite dimensional tilting theory.

Part I: Decomposable classes

Part I: Decomposable classes

(blocks put in a row)

Definition

A class of modules $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, provided there is a cardinal κ such that each module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of $< \kappa$-generated modules from $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition

A class of modules $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, provided there is a cardinal κ such that each module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of $< \kappa$-generated modules from $\mathcal{C}$.

Some classic examples

Definition

A class of modules $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, provided there is a cardinal κ such that each module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of $< \kappa$-generated modules from $\mathcal{C}$.

Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]
Mod-R is decomposable, iff R is right pure-semisimple.
Uniformly: $\kappa = \aleph_0$ sufficient for all such R;
uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

Definition

A class of modules $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, provided there is a cardinal κ such that each module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of $< \kappa$-generated modules from $\mathcal{C}$.

Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]
Mod-R is decomposable, iff R is right pure-semisimple.
Uniformly: $\kappa = \aleph_0$ sufficient for all such R;
uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

[Kaplansky'58] **The class $\mathcal{P}_0$ is decomposable.**
Uniformly: $\kappa = \aleph_1$ sufficient for all R, but no uniqueness in general.
E.g., $I \oplus I^{-1} \cong R^{(2)}$ for each non-principal ideal I of a Dedekind domain R.

Definition

A class of modules $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, provided there is a cardinal κ such that each module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of $< \kappa$-generated modules from $\mathcal{C}$.

Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]
Mod-R is decomposable, iff R is right pure-semisimple.
Uniformly: $\kappa = \aleph_0$ sufficient for all such R;
uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

[Kaplansky'58] **The class $\mathcal{P}_0$ is decomposable.**
Uniformly: $\kappa = \aleph_1$ sufficient for all R, but no uniqueness in general.
E.g., $I \oplus I^{-1} \cong R^{(2)}$ for each non-principal ideal I of a Dedekind domain R.

[Faith-Walker'67] **The class $\mathcal{I}_0$ of all injective modules is decomposable, iff R is right noetherian.**
Here, κ depends R; uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

Part II: Deconstructible classes

Part II: Deconstructible classes

(blocks put on top of other blocks)

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq$ Mod-R. A module M is $\mathcal{C}$-filtered (or a transfinite extension of the modules in $\mathcal{C}$), provided that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$ consisting of submodules of M such that $M_0 = 0$, $M_\sigma = M$,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} M_\beta$ for each limit ordinal $\alpha \leq \sigma$, and
- for each $\alpha < \sigma$, $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element of $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq$ Mod-R. A module M is $\mathcal{C}$-filtered (or a transfinite extension of the modules in $\mathcal{C}$), provided that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$ consisting of submodules of M such that $M_0 = 0$, $M_\sigma = M$,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} M_\beta$ for each limit ordinal $\alpha \leq \sigma$, and
- for each $\alpha < \sigma$, $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element of $\mathcal{C}$.

Notation: $M \in \mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{C})$.
A class $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under transfinite extensions, if $\mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq$ Mod-R. A module M is $\mathcal{C}$-filtered (or a transfinite extension of the modules in $\mathcal{C}$), provided that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$ consisting of submodules of M such that $M_0 = 0$, $M_\sigma = M$,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} M_\beta$ for each limit ordinal $\alpha \leq \sigma$, and
- for each $\alpha < \sigma$, $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element of $\mathcal{C}$.

Notation: $M \in \mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{C})$.

A class $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under transfinite extensions, if $\mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Eklof Lemma

The class ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{KerExt}^1_R(-, \mathcal{C})$ is closed under transfinite extensions for each class of modules $\mathcal{C}$.

In particular, so are the classes $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ of all modules of projective and flat dimension $\leq n$, for each $n < \omega$.

The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is deconstructible, provided there is a cardinal κ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$, where $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$ denotes the class of all $< \kappa$-presented modules from $\mathcal{A}$.

The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is deconstructible, provided there is a cardinal κ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$, where $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$ denotes the class of all $< \kappa$-presented modules from $\mathcal{A}$.

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is deconstructible, provided there is a cardinal κ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$, where $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$ denotes the class of all $< \kappa$-presented modules from $\mathcal{A}$.

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

[Enochs et al.'01]

For each $n < \omega$, the classes $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ are deconstructible.

The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is deconstructible, provided there is a cardinal κ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathrm{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$, where $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$ denotes the class of all $< \kappa$-presented modules from $\mathcal{A}$.

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

[Enochs et al.'01]

For each $n < \omega$, the classes $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ are deconstructible.

[Eklof-T.'01], [Šťovíček-T.'09]

For each set of modules $\mathcal{S}$, the class ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$ is deconstructible.
Here, $\mathcal{S}^{\perp} := \mathrm{KerExt}^1_R(\mathcal{S}, -)$.

Approximations of modules

Approximations of modules

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is precovering if for each module M there is $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M)$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each $f' \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(A', M)$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ has a factorization through f:



The map f is called an $\mathcal{A}$–precover of M.

Approximations of modules

A class of modules $\mathcal{A}$ is precovering if for each module M there is $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M)$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each $f' \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(A', M)$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ has a factorization through f:



The map f is called an $\mathcal{A}$–precover of M.

[Saorín-Šťovíček'11], [Enochs'12]

All deconstructible classes closed under transfinite extensions are precovering.

In particular, so are the classes ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$ for all sets of modules $\mathcal{S}$.

Some questions

Some questions

Is each class of modules closed under transfinite extensions deconstructible/precovering?

Some questions

Is each class of modules closed under transfinite extensions deconstructible/precovering?

What about the classes of the form ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{C}$*?*

Part III: Non-deconstructible classes

Part III: Non-deconstructible classes

(no block pattern at all)

First examples

First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

Let $\mathcal{W} := {}^{\perp}\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the class of all Whitehead groups.
It is independent of ZFC whether $\mathcal{W}$ is precovering (or deconstructible).

First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

Let $\mathcal{W} := {}^{\perp}\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the class of all Whitehead groups.
It is independent of ZFC whether $\mathcal{W}$ is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

Let $\mathcal{W} := {}^{\perp}\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the class of all Whitehead groups.
It is independent of ZFC whether $\mathcal{W}$ is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

A module M is flat Mittag-Leffler provided the functor $M \otimes_R -$ is exact, and for each system of left R-modules $(N_i \mid i \in I)$, the canonical map $M \otimes_R \prod_{i \in I} N_i \to \prod_{i \in I} M \otimes_R N_i$ is monic.

First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

Let $\mathcal{W} := {}^{\perp}\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the class of all Whitehead groups.
It is independent of ZFC whether $\mathcal{W}$ is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

A module M is flat Mittag-Leffler provided the functor $M \otimes_R -$ is exact, and for each system of left R-modules $(N_i \mid i \in I)$, the canonical map $M \otimes_R \prod_{i \in I} N_i \to \prod_{i \in I} M \otimes_R N_i$ is monic.

Assume that R is not right perfect.

- [Herbera-T.'12] The class $\mathcal{FM}$ of all flat Mittag-Leffler modules is closed under transfinite extensions, but it is not deconstructible.
- [Šaroch-T.'12], [Bazzoni-Šťovíček'12] If R is countable, then $\mathcal{FM}$ is not precovering.

Further questions

Further questions

Is non-deconstructibility a more general phenomenon?

Further questions

Is non-deconstructibility a more general phenomenon?

Still open

Can the class $^{\perp}\mathcal{C}$ be non-deconstructible/non-precovering in ZFC?

Locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules.

Locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules.

Definition

A module M is locally $\mathcal{F}$-free, if M possesses a subset $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of M is contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}$,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under unions of countable chains.

Locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules.

Definition

A module M is locally $\mathcal{F}$-free, if M possesses a subset $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of M is contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}$,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under unions of countable chains.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules.

Locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules.

Definition

A module M is locally $\mathcal{F}$-free, if M possesses a subset $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of M is contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}$,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under unions of countable chains.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules.

Note: If M is countably generated, then M is locally $\mathcal{F}$-free, iff M is countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$-free

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$-free

Theorem (Herbera-T.'12)

Let $\mathcal{F} =$ be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Then the notions of a locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module and a flat Mittag-Leffler module coincide for any ring R.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$-free

Theorem (Herbera-T.'12)

Let $\mathcal{F} =$ be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Then the notions of a locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module and a flat Mittag-Leffler module coincide for any ring R.

For instance, if $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then an abelian group A is flat Mittag-Leffler, iff all countable subgroups of A are free.

In particular, the Baer-Specker group $\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ is flat Mittag-Leffler for each κ, but not free.

Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and T_κ be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals $< \kappa$, so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \to \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and T_κ be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals $< \kappa$, so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \to \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion, T_κ is a tree, called the tree on κ.

Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and T_κ be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals $< \kappa$, so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \to \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion, T_κ is a tree, called the tree on κ.

Let $\mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$ denote the set of all branches of T_κ. Each $\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$ can be identified with an ω-sequence of ordinals $< \kappa$:

$$\mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa) = \{\nu : \omega \to \kappa\}.$$

Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and T_κ be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals $< \kappa$, so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \to \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion, T_κ is a tree, called the tree on κ.

Let $\mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$ denote the set of all branches of T_κ. Each $\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$ can be identified with an ω-sequence of ordinals $< \kappa$:

$$\mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa) = \{\nu : \omega \to \kappa\}.$$

card $T_\kappa = \kappa$ and card $\mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa) = \kappa^\omega$.

Notation: $\ell(\tau)$ denotes the length of τ for each $\tau \in T_\kappa$.

The Bass modules

The Bass modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of countably presented modules.

The Bass modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of countably presented modules.

$\varinjlim_\omega \mathcal{F}$ denotes the class of all Bass modules, i.e., the modules N that are countable direct limits of the modules from $\mathcal{F}$. W.l.o.g., such N is the direct limit of a chain

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} F_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{g_i} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} \dots$$

with $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_i, F_{i+1})$ for all $i < \omega$.

The Bass modules

Let R be a ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of countably presented modules.

$\varinjlim_{\omega} \mathcal{F}$ denotes the class of all Bass modules, i.e., the modules N that are countable direct limits of the modules from $\mathcal{F}$. W.l.o.g., such N is the direct limit of a chain

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} F_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{g_i} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} \dots$$

with $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_i, F_{i+1})$ for all $i < \omega$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the class of all countably generated projective modules. Then the Bass modules coincide with the countably presented flat modules.

Decorating trees by Bass modules

Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$, and $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$.

Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$, and $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$.

For $\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$, $i < \omega$, and $x \in F_i$, we define $x_{\nu i} \in P$ by

$$\pi_{\nu \restriction i}(x_{\nu i}) = x,$$

$$\pi_{\nu \restriction j}(x_{\nu i}) = g_{j-1} \dots g_i(x) \text{ for each } i < j < \omega,$$

$$\pi_\tau(x_{\nu i}) = 0 \text{ otherwise},$$

where $\pi_\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, F_{\ell(\tau)})$ denotes the τth projection for each $\tau \in T_\kappa$.

Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$, and $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$.

For $\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)$, $i < \omega$, and $x \in F_i$, we define $x_{\nu i} \in P$ by

$$\pi_{\nu \restriction i}(x_{\nu i}) = x,$$

$$\pi_{\nu \restriction j}(x_{\nu i}) = g_{j-1} \dots g_i(x) \text{ for each } i < j < \omega,$$

$$\pi_\tau(x_{\nu i}) = 0 \text{ otherwise},$$

where $\pi_\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, F_{\ell(\tau)})$ denotes the τth projection for each $\tau \in T_\kappa$.

Let $X_{\nu i} := \{x_{\nu i} \mid x \in F_i\}$. Then $X_{\nu i}$ is a submodule of P isomorphic to F_i.

The locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module L

The locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module L

Let $X_\nu := \sum_{i<\omega} X_{\nu i}$, and $L := \sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$.

The locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module L

Let $X_\nu := \sum_{i<\omega} X_{\nu i}$, and $L := \sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$.

Lemma

- $D \subseteq L \subseteq P$.
- $L/D \cong N^{(Br(T_\kappa))}$.
- *L is locally $\mathcal{F}$-free.*

The locally $\mathcal{F}$-free module L

Let $X_\nu := \sum_{i<\omega} X_{\nu i}$, and $L := \sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$.

Lemma

- $D \subseteq L \subseteq P$.
- $L/D \cong N^{(Br(T_\kappa))}$.
- L *is locally* $\mathcal{F}$*-free.*

Lemma (Slávik-T.)

- $\mathcal{L}$ *is closed under transfinite extensions.*
- $\mathcal{L}^\perp \subseteq (\varinjlim_\omega \mathcal{F})^\perp$.

Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

- $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules,
- $\mathcal{L}$ the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules,
- $\mathcal{D}$ the class of all direct summands of the modules M that fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \to F' \to M \to C' \to 0,$$

where F' is a free module, and C' is countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered.

Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules

- $\mathcal{F}$ a class of countably presented modules,
- $\mathcal{L}$ the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}$-free modules,
- $\mathcal{D}$ the class of all direct summands of the modules M that fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \to F' \to M \to C' \to 0,$$

where F' is a free module, and C' is countably $\mathcal{F}$-filtered.

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

Assume there exists a Bass module $N \notin \mathcal{D}$. Then the class $\mathcal{L}$ is not deconstructible.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$ is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring R.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$ is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring R.

Proof: If R a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \ldots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \ldots a_o \supsetneq \ldots$$

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$ is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring R.

Proof: If R a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \dots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \dots a_o \supsetneq \dots$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Consider the Bass module N which is a direct limit of the chain

$$R \xrightarrow{a_0\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_1\cdot} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{i-1}\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_i\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}\cdot} \dots$$

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$ is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring R.

Proof: If R a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneqq \cdots \supsetneqq Ra_n \dots a_0 \supsetneqq Ra_{n+1}a_n \dots a_o \supsetneqq \dots$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Consider the Bass module N which is a direct limit of the chain

$$R \xrightarrow{a_0\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_1\cdot} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{i-1}\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_i\cdot} R \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}\cdot} \dots$$

Then there is a non-split pure-exact sequence

$$0 \to R^{(\omega)} \xrightarrow{f} R^{(\omega)} \to N \to 0,$$

where $f(1_i) = 1_i - a_i.1_{i+1}$ for all $i < \omega$. Then $N \notin \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}_0$.

Infinite dimensional tilting modules

Infinite dimensional tilting modules

Definition

T is a tilting module provided that

- T has finite projective dimension,
- $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$ for each cardinal κ, and
- there exists an exact sequence $0 \to R \to T_0 \to \cdots \to T_r \to 0$ such that $r < \omega$, and for each $i < r$, $T_i \in \mathrm{Add}(T)$, i.e., T_i is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of T .

Infinite dimensional tilting modules

Definition

T is a tilting module provided that

- T has finite projective dimension,
- $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$ for each cardinal κ, and
- there exists an exact sequence $0 \to R \to T_0 \to \cdots \to T_r \to 0$ such that $r < \omega$, and for each $i < r$, $T_i \in \mathrm{Add}(T)$, i.e., T_i is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of T .

$\mathcal{B}_T := \{T\}^{\perp_\infty} = \bigcap_{1<i} \mathrm{KerExt}^i_R(T, -)$ the right tilting class of T.

Infinite dimensional tilting modules

Definition

T is a tilting module provided that

- T has finite projective dimension,
- $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$ for each cardinal κ, and
- there exists an exact sequence $0 \to R \to T_0 \to \cdots \to T_r \to 0$ such that $r < \omega$, and for each $i < r$, $T_i \in \mathrm{Add}(T)$, i.e., T_i is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of T .

$\mathcal{B}_T := \{T\}^{\perp_\infty} = \bigcap_{1<i} \mathrm{KerExt}^i_R(T, -)$ the right tilting class of T.

$\mathcal{A}_T := {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}_T$ the left tilting class of T.

Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$*, where* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.*

Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form $\mathcal{S}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.

Let $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_T$ is the class of all direct summands of $\mathcal{S}_T$-filtered modules, and $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$.

Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form $\mathcal{S}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.

Let $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_T$ is the class of all direct summands of $\mathcal{S}_T$-filtered modules, and $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$.

Definition

The tilting module T is $\sum$-pure split provided that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T = \mathcal{A}_T$, that is, the left tilting class of T is closed under direct limits. Equivalently: Each pure embedding $T_0 \hookrightarrow T_1$ such that $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Add}(T)$ splits.

Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form $\mathcal{S}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.

Let $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_T$ is the class of all direct summands of $\mathcal{S}_T$-filtered modules, and $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$.

Definition

The tilting module T is $\sum$-pure split provided that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T = \mathcal{A}_T$, that is, the left tilting class of T is closed under direct limits. Equivalently: Each pure embedding $T_0 \hookrightarrow T_1$ such that $T_0, T_1 \in \mathrm{Add}(T)$ splits.

Example (Bass)

Let $T = R$. Then T is a tilting module of projective dimension 0, and T is $\sum$-pure split, iff R is a right perfect ring.

Locally free modules and tilting

Locally free modules and tilting

The setting

Let R be a countable ring, and T be a non-$\sum$-pure-split tilting module. Let $\mathcal{F}_T$ be the class of all countably presented modules from $\mathcal{A}_T$, and $\mathcal{L}_T$ the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}_T$-free modules.

Locally free modules and tilting

The setting

Let R be a countable ring, and T be a non-$\sum$-pure-split tilting module. Let $\mathcal{F}_T$ be the class of all countably presented modules from $\mathcal{A}_T$, and $\mathcal{L}_T$ the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}_T$-free modules.

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

Assume that $\mathcal{L}_T \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_T$ is closed under direct summands, and $M \in \mathcal{L}_T$ whenever $M \subseteq L \in \mathcal{L}_T$ and $L/M \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$.
Then the class $\mathcal{L}_T$ is not precovering.

Locally free modules and tilting

The setting

Let R be a countable ring, and T be a non-$\sum$-pure-split tilting module. Let $\mathcal{F}_T$ be the class of all countably presented modules from $\mathcal{A}_T$, and $\mathcal{L}_T$ the class of all locally $\mathcal{F}_T$-free modules.

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

Assume that $\mathcal{L}_T \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_T$ is closed under direct summands, and $M \in \mathcal{L}_T$ whenever $M \subseteq L \in \mathcal{L}_T$ and $L/M \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$.
Then the class $\mathcal{L}_T$ is not precovering.

Corollary

If R is countable and non-right perfect, then $\mathcal{FM}$ is not precovering.

Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

Let R be an indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type, with the Auslander-Reiten translation τ.
Then there is a partition of all indecomposable finitely generated modules into three sets:

q := indecomposable preinjective modules
(i.e., indecomposable injectives and their τ-shifts),

p := indecomposable preprojective modules
(i.e., indecomposable projectives and their τ^--shifts),

t := regular modules (the rest).



The Lukas tilting module and the Baer modules

The Lukas tilting module and the Baer modules

$p^{\perp}$ is a right tilting class.
$M \in p^{\perp}$ iff M has no non-zero direct summands from p.

The Lukas tilting module and the Baer modules

$p^{\perp}$ is a right tilting class.
$M \in p^{\perp}$ iff M has no non-zero direct summands from p.

The tilting module L inducing $p^{\perp}$ is called the Lukas tilting module.
The left tilting class of L is the class of all Baer modules.

The Lukas tilting module and the Baer modules

$p^\perp$ is a right tilting class.
$M \in p^\perp$ iff M has no non-zero direct summands from p.

The tilting module L inducing $p^\perp$ is called the Lukas tilting module.
The left tilting class of L is the class of all Baer modules.

[Angeleri-Kerner-T.'10]

The class of all Baer modules coincides with Filt(p).

The Lukas tilting module L is countably generated, but has no finite dimensional direct summands, and it is not $\sum$-pure split.

Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

Let $\mathcal{F}_L$ be the class of all countably presented Baer modules.
The elements of $\mathcal{L}_L$ are called the locally Baer modules.

Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

Let $\mathcal{F}_L$ be the class of all countably presented Baer modules.
The elements of $\mathcal{L}_L$ are called the locally Baer modules.

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

Let R be a countable indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type. Then the class $\mathcal{L}_L$ is not precovering (and hence not deconstructible).

A conjecture

A conjecture

A ring R is right pure-semisimple, iff each class of right R-modules closed under transfinite extensions and direct summands is deconstructible.